

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 1

A regularly scheduled meeting of the Carson City Board of Supervisors was held on Thursday, August 1, 2002, at the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada, beginning at 8:30 a.m.

PRESENT:	Ray Masayko	Mayor
	Jon Plank	Supervisor, Ward 2
	Robin Williamson	Supervisor, Ward 1
	Pete Livermore	Supervisor, Ward 3
	Richard S. Staub	Supervisor, Ward 4

STAFF PRESENT:	John Berkich	City Manager
	Alan Glover	Clerk-Recorder
	Al Kramer	Treasurer
	Mark Forsberg	Chief Deputy District Attorney
	Cheryl Adams	Deputy Purchasing Director
	Katherine McLaughlin	Recording Secretary
	Justine Chambers	Contracts Coordinator

(B.O.S. 8/1/02 Tape 1-0001)

NOTE: Unless otherwise indicated, each item was introduced by staff's reading/outlining/clarifying the Board Action Request and/or supporting documentation. Staff members present for each Department are listed under that Department's heading. Any other individuals who spoke are listed immediately following the item heading. A tape recording of these proceedings is on file in the Clerk-Recorder's office. This tape is available for review and inspection during normal business hours.

ROLL CALL, INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - Mayor Masayko convened the meeting at 8:30 a.m. Roll call was taken. The entire Board was present constituting a quorum. Rev. Pat Propster of the Carson City Christian Fellowship gave the Invocation. Mayor Masayko lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

CITIZEN COMMENTS (1-0030) - None.

1. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES (1-0033)** - None.

2. **AGENDA MODIFICATIONS (1-0034)** - None.

LIQUOR AND ENTERTAINMENT BOARD (1-0036) - Mayor Masayko recessed the Board of Supervisor session and immediately reconvened the session as the Liquor and Entertainment Board. The entire Board was present, constituting a quorum, including Sheriff's Representative Bev Moltz.

3. **TREASURER - Al Kramer - ACTION TO APPROVE A PACKAGED LIQUOR LICENSE FOR GOEL VENTURES LIMITED, DOING BUSINESS AS ARCO AM/PM #92341, LOCATED AT 4190 SOUTH CARSON STREET, WITH SANGEETA GOEL AS LIQUOR LICENSE MANAGER (1-0039)** - Sangeeta Goel - Chairperson Masayko emphasized the fact that a liquor license is considered a privilege

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 2

in Carson City and that law enforcement agencies can enter an establishment at any reasonable time. The laws are specific regarding the manager/owner's responsibilities and accountabilities. There must not be any sales of intoxicants to minors. Ms. Goel indicated that both she and her employees would know and follow the laws and regulations and that her employees would receive training regarding these laws. Member Moltz noted the favorable Sheriff's investigative report on Ms. Goel and the recommendation. Member Plank moved to approve a packaged liquor license for Goel Ventures Limited, doing business as Arco AM-PM #82341, located at 4190 South Carson Street, with Sangeeta Goel being the Liquor License Manager, under Carson City Municipal Code 4.13; fiscal impact is \$1,000 Original New Fee, \$500 Investigation Fee, and \$200 Quarterly Fee. Member Williamson seconded the motion. Discussion indicated that Ms. Goel will be moving to Carson City soon. The Board thanked her for her investment in the community and welcomed her to the area. The motion was voted and carried 6-0. Mayor Masayko requested that the record indicate the Ms. Goel's husband was also present.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (1-0130) - There being no other matters for consideration as the Liquor and Entertainment Board, Chairperson Masayko adjourned the Liquor and Entertainment Board and immediately reconvened the session as the Board of Supervisors. The entire Board was present, constituting a quorum.

4. CONSENT AGENDA

4-1. JUSTICE COURT - ACTION TO APPROVE THE AUGMENTATION OF THE JUSTICE COURT BUDGET TO INCLUDE POLICE AND FIRE RETIREMENT BENEFITS FOR JUSTICE COURT MARSHAL/ALTERNATIVE SENTENCING OFFICERS PURSUANT TO THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM BOARD ACTION PASSED ON JULY 16, 2002, WHICH APPROVED THE JUSTICE COURT MARSHAL/ALTERNATIVE SENTENCING OFFICER POSITION FOR POLICE AND FIRE RETIREMENT ELIGIBILITY

4-2. FINANCE - ACTION TO RATIFY THE EXPENDITURE APPROVAL LISTING FOR THE MONTH OF JULY 2002

4-3. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - CONTRACTS

A. ACTION TO APPROVE STAFF'S REQUEST ON THE 2002 STREET OVERLAY CONTRACT, CONTRACT NO. 2002-006, TO PERFORM A MILL AND OVERLAY OF SOUTH GRAVES LANE FROM STANTON DRIVE TO US HIGHWAY 50 EAST BY APPROVING AN INCREASE OF \$151,775 IN THE CONTRACT CONTINGENCY AMOUNT FROM THE APPROVED CONTINGENCY AMOUNT OF \$132,133 TO A REVISED AMOUNT OF \$283,908

B. ACTION TO ACCEPT DEVELOPMENT SERVICES RECOMMENDATION AND AWARD THE CARSON CITY COMMUNITY CENTER RETROFIT FOR ADA COMPLIANCE PROJECT, CONTRACT NO. 2001-126 TO BIDDER NO. 1, ADVANCE INSTALLATIONS, INC., P. O. BOX 2163, SPARKS, NV 89432-2163 AS THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE BIDDER PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF NRS CHAPTER 332, 338, 339, AND 624 FOR A CONTRACT AMOUNT OF \$179,370 FOR THE CONTRACTOR TO COMPLETE ALL WORK WITHIN 90 DAYS FROM THE NOTICE TO PROCEED AND A CONTINGENCY AMOUNT OF \$19,105

C. ACTION TO ACCEPT DEVELOPMENT SERVICES RECOMMENDATION ON APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT NO. 3 FOR COMBS CANYON AND TIMBERLINE WATERSHEDS DRAINAGE DESIGN, CONTRACT NO. 9899-048 AND AUTHORIZE THE DEVELOPMENT

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 3

SERVICES TO ISSUE PAYMENTS TO RESOURCE CONCEPTS, INC., 340 NORTH MINNESOTA STREET, CARSON CITY, NEVADA 89703 FOR AN INCREASE TO THE CONTRACT AMOUNT OF \$30,000

4-4. PURCHASING AND CONTRACTS

A. ACTION TO EXTEND CONTRACT NO. 9900-056 TO CONTRACT WITH CONWAY COMMUNICATIONS BY JOINDER BID THROUGH THE STATE OF NEVADA TO PROVIDE TELEPHONE REPAIR, WIRING, CABLE AND FIBER OPTIC CABLE REPAIR AND INSTALLATION THROUGH JUNE 30, 2003, AND AUTHORIZE ALL CITY DEPARTMENTS TO BE ABLE TO PLACE ORDERS FROM THIS CONTRACT PROVIDED THEY HAVE APPROVED FUNDING AND FOLLOW APPROVED CARSON CITY PURCHASING PROCEDURES

B. ACTION TO RENEW CONTRACT NO. 0001-020 WITH SIERRA NEVADA STAGE LINES TO PROVIDE TRANSPORTATION FOR THE 2003 JUNIOR SKI PROGRAM WITH THE SAME TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS ORIGINALLY BID ON AUGUST 15, 2000, AND A FIVE PERCENT INCREASE FOR EACH MOTOR COACH USED OR CANCELLED

C. ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO DETERMINE THAT THE ROLLER HOCKEY RINK HAS REACHED THE END OF ITS USEFUL LIFE AND IS THEREBY DONATED TO SIERRA ROLLER HOCKEY LEAGUE IN BISHOP, CALIFORNIA, A NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION

D. ACTION TO APPROVE CONTRACT NO. 0203-021 TO CONTRACT WITH CONCENTRA MEDICAL CENTERS BY JOINDER BID THROUGH THE STATE OF NEVADA TO PROVIDE OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH SERVICES THROUGH APRIL 30, 2003, AND AUTHORIZE THE USE OF THIS CONTRACT BY ALL CITY DEPARTMENTS PROVIDING THEY HAVE APPROVED FUNDING

E. ACTION TO APPROVE CONTRACT NO. 0203-042 VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FISCAL YEAR 2002/2003 AND AUTHORIZE THE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SUPERVISOR TO PURCHASE 2003 MODEL YEAR VEHICLES FROM NEVADA STATE PURCHASING'S VEHICLE PRICE AGREEMENTS PROVIDED THAT CARSON CITY'S APPROVED FUNDING AND PURCHASING PROCEDURES ARE FOLLOWED

F. ACTION TO APPROVE CONTRACT NO. 0203-043, A REQUEST FOR THE PURCHASE OF ONE TORO GM223D RIDING LAWN MOWER FROM TURF STAR, INC., A SOLE SOURCE PROVIDER, FOR A NOT TO EXCEED COST OF \$12,885

G. ACTION TO APPROVE CONTRACT NO. 0203-044, A REQUEST FOR THE PURCHASE OF ONE TORO GM580D RIDING LAWN MOWER FROM TURF STAR, INC., A SOLE SOURCE PROVIDER, FOR A NOT TO EXCEED COST OF \$63,690

H. ACTION TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SIGN THE INTERSTATE INTERLOCAL CONTRACT FOR COOPERATIVE PURCHASING WITH THE HOUSTON-GALVESTON AREA COUNCIL (H-GAC) WHICH IS EXEMPT FROM COMPETITIVE BIDDING PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH M OF SUBSECTION 1 OF NRS 332.115 AND WHICH WILL ALLOW ALL CITY DEPARTMENTS TO BE ABLE TO UTILIZE THE H-GAC COOPERATIVE PURCHASING PROGRAM

I. ACTION TO APPROVE CONTRACT NO. 0203-046 TO CONTRACT WITH ADVANCED OFFICE INTERIORS, DUNDAS OFFICE INTERIORS, INC., MACHABEE OFFICE

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 4

ENVIRONMENTS, AND OFFICE DEPOT BY JOINDER BID THROUGH NEVADA STATE PURCHASING TO PURCHASE SYSTEMS FURNITURE, CASE GOODS AND SEATING, AND BALANCE OF THE LINE FOR ALL FURNITURE THAT THESE VENDORS ARE AUTHORIZED TO SELL THROUGH JUNE 30, 2004 AND AUTHORIZE ALL CITY DEPARTMENTS TO BE ABLE TO PLACE ORDERS FROM THIS CONTRACT PROVIDED THEY HAVE APPROVED FUNDING AND FOLLOW APPROVED CARSON CITY PURCHASING PROCEDURES

J. ACTION TO APPROVE CONTRACT NO. 0203-047, A REQUEST FOR THE PURCHASE OF NOVELL SOFTWARE LICENSES AND ON-GOING SUPPORT FOR A NOT TO EXCEED COST OF \$29,209.20 EXEMPT FROM COMPETITIVE BIDDING

4-5. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - ENGINEERING - ACTION TO APPROVE A REQUEST THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INVOKE ARTICLE 8, SECTION 8.1, OF THE AGREEMENT FOR ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES FOR THE CARSON CITY SENIOR CENTER EXPANSION, CONTRACT NO. 2000-143, BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND EISSMANN-OPENCE ARCHITECTURE/LANDSCAPE, LTD., THEREBY TERMINATING SAID AGREEMENT WITHOUT CAUSE UPON A FIFTEEN DAY WRITTEN NOTICE

4-6. FIRE DEPARTMENT - ACTION TO APPROVE EMERGENCY FIRE AND EMERGENCY MUTUAL AID AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE EAST FORK FIRE AND PARAMEDIC DISTRICTS AND CONSOLIDATED MUNICIPALITY OF CARSON CITY

4-7. UTILITIES DEPARTMENT - ACTION ON A JOINT FUNDING AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY (USGS) REGARDING THE ONGOING STREAMFLOW MONITORING PROGRAM CONDUCTED COOPERATIVELY BETWEEN THE USGS AND CARSON CITY UTILITIES DEPARTMENT - Supervisor Plank moved to approve the entire Consent Agenda as presented this morning including Resolution No. 2002-R-47 for Item 4-4C. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

5. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS - NON-ACTION ITEMS

B. STAFF COMMENTS AND STATUS REPORTS (1-0162) (1-0828) - City Manager John Berkich introduced Human Resource Director Ann Beck and thanked Personnel Analyst Trainee Mary McAlister for her efforts to fill the void during the position's vacancy. Ms. Beck briefly described her middle school and high school education in Carson City and work history. She thanked the Board for being given the honor of serving the community. Mayor Masayko welcomed her and thanked Ms. McAlister and the Personnel staff members for their efforts to fill the void. Supervisors Livermore and Staub explained their previous experience with/knowledge of Ms. Beck and welcomed her back to the community.

Mayor Masayko announced that Mr. Berkich would be on vacation next week and that he (Mayor Masayko) had agreed to cover a couple of Mr. Berkich's regional meetings. He also indicated that the other Supervisors had also been given assignments/meetings to cover for Mr. Berkich.

A. INTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS (1-0245) - Supervisor Plank reported on the following meetings/events: the July 10th RTC meeting; a meeting with the Sheriff's Office and the Planning and Building Departments regarding a problem on Tiger Drive and encouraged the other

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 5

Board members to visit the site; his vacation; the TRPA Governing Board meeting; a meeting with Supervisor Williamson and staff on the storm water drainage program; the judging of the "4-H Pets On Parade" at Fuji Park with his wife; and a discussion/tour with residents and Building Department personnel regarding problem sites in the Sherman/East Nye Lane and Graves Lane area. He also announced his intent to attend a settlement hearing with Deputy District Attorney Melanie Bruketta tomorrow. He had advised TRPA that he would not be at their meeting tomorrow due to this commitment. He announced his plans to attend the Eagle Valley Golf Course's management anniversary celebration. As this is a social affair, he did not believe that there would be a problem with the Open Meeting Law. Mayor Masayko welcomed him home from his vacation. Supervisor Williamson reported on the following meetings/events: the Tri-County Legislative Group meetings and attendance at various Redevelopment meetings necessitated by the resignation of former Redevelopment/Economic Development Manager Rob Joiner. She thanked Community Development staff for their efforts to continue all of the Redevelopment activities until a replacement is found. She also announced that the Redevelopment Authority Citizens Committee meeting for August had been cancelled. She then reported on the storm drainage program meeting including a federal regulation which will impact the City's program; the meeting at the Brewery Arts Center where the cultural center and plaza plans for the former St. Teresa's Catholic Church were unveiled; the Chamber of Commerce installation of officers and awards ceremony and congratulated the new President and the award recipients; and the Pinion Hills picnic which she, Mayor Masayko, and Supervisor Plank had attended. She announced her vacation plans and that she would not be able to attend either the Builders Association of Western Nevada or the Eagle Valley Golf Course anniversary celebrations. Supervisor Staub reported on the following meetings/events: the Debt Management Commission meeting; a tour of the Eagle Valley Golf Course and announced that golf will be free on Sunday; a briefing on the storm drainage program and its contemplated fees; the Silver Dollar Car Classic event; the Chamber of Commerce officers installation dinner which Supervisors Williamson and Livermore and Mayor Masayko had also attended; the Public Transit Advisory Committee meeting and briefly limned its role change that was created by the City becoming an MPO; a meeting with Tom Pardini regarding his downtown building and potential fire hazards which City staff is looking into; and asked that anyone who knew him to send condolences to his brother and sister-in-law regarding the loss of his father-in-law. Mayor Masayko also offered him his condolences. Supervisor Livermore reported on the following meetings/events: Health Smart's meeting and the appointment of Kay Bennett as the interim executive director; the Mental Health Coalition meeting; the Economic Development Committee meeting; the search for a new City Redevelopment/Economic Development Manager; the Hospital's strategic planning session; the Youth Sports Organization's meeting including an announcement that soccer practice had started with 125 teams and that Pop Warner football will start on August 5 with eight teams and 110 cheerleaders; his tour with Supervisor Staub and Mr. Berkich of the Eagle Valley Golf Course and announced his plan to attend the anniversary activities; briefings on the Community Development and Planning permit fees and storm drain management fees; Hospital meetings; the Chamber of Commerce Installation of Officers dinner and complimented the nine year old who sang the "National Anthem" and "God Bless America" at it; a meeting with Mr. Berkich, City staff, and NDOT staff regarding the freeway's impact on the hospital and its signage; a Northern Nevada Urgent Care meeting and announced the opening of the Dayton urgent care facility. He also encouraged the public to take advantage of the Hospital's new program called "Call Doc" which allows a person to contact a nurse/doctor and avoid going to the emergency room unless needed. Mayor Masayko reported on the following meetings/events: a JOIN teleconference meeting and welcomed its new Board members and solicited applications for a vacancy on that Board; the Roberts House opening of the south doors/rooms and urged the public to tour the facility and park; the Tri-County Legislation meeting and briefly noted the long-term care challenge; Economic Development Team Meeting and stressed the

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 6

importance of finding a replacement for former Redevelopment/Economic Development Manager Rob Joiner as soon as possible; the status of the V&T Railroad Reconstruction Project and its funding; the Silver Dollar Car Classic event; the Pinion Hills annual neighborhood party; the FISH and Dorothy Wylie Men's Center at Stewart Open House and thanked/congratulated both FISH and the State for their roles in getting this facility open; and the Chamber of Commerce Installation of Officers dinner. He also explained his commitment to attend the Eagle Valley Golf Course Anniversary Celebrations and his inability to attend the Reno/Sparks Convention and Visitors Center activities on the same date. He congratulated them on the Center activities and facilities. He then explained a discussion he had with Carson Access Television Foundation Executive Director Craig Swope regarding the need for a signal to indicate whether the meeting is on the air and the decision to use a "blue" light to indicate when the television station is airing the Board meeting. He also indicated that in the future the television would be turned toward the audience. Discussion between Supervisor Williamson and Mayor Masayko explained that General Election Ballot Question C-1 is the advisory question on the Fairgrounds and urged the residents to vote their opinion. The Fairground advocates' question had been removed by the Supreme Court. Improvements are under construction at Fuji Park. The work schedule for these improvements appears to be "slipping" somewhat. This may necessitate relocating or rescheduling some events to other facilities or dates. The importance of showing the Board's commitment to Fuji Park was noted.

RECESS: A recess was declared at 9:24 a.m. The entire Board was present when Mayor Masayko reconvened the meeting at 9:34 a.m., constituting a quorum.

Mayor Masayko explained that the blue light indicates that the video of the meeting is airing rather than the meeting as originally indicated.

6. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - Senior Engineer Rob Fellows - ACTION TO INTRODUCE ON FIRST READING AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND THE WIPFLI FAMILY TRUST AND GARY AND RHONDA KILTY REGARDING ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NO. 004-231-05 LOCATED AT 514 E. SPEAR ST., 004-231-06 LOCATED AT 512 E. SPEAR ST., 004-231-07 LOCATED AT 508 E. SPEAR ST., CARSON CITY, NEVADA, FOR SEWER LINE AND WATER LINE REPLACEMENT(1-0844) - Discussion indicated that the City sewer and water improvements will be made during the development of the property. Staff is working with the property owners in the vicinity to ensure placement of the sewer and water connections at the proper locations. The residents were asked to contact Mr. Benzing at the Utilities Department to make sure the connections appropriately located. The water and sewer work is being done before the street overlay in the hope that it will not be necessary to disturb the overlay once it is completed. Public comments were solicited but none were given. Supervisor Plank moved to introduce Bill No. 128 on first reading; AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND THE WIPFLI FAMILY TRUST AND GARY AND RHONDA KILTY REGARDING ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NO. 004-231-05 LOCATED AT 514 E. SPEAR ST., ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER 004-231-06 LOCATED AT 512 E. SPEAR ST., AND ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER 004-231-07 LOCATED AT 508 E. SPEAR ST., IN CARSON CITY, NEVADA, FOR SEWER LINE AND WATER LINE REPLACEMENT; fiscal impact is \$2,200 for the Water Replacement and \$24,065 for the Sewer Replacement, total of which is \$26,265 of which \$13,831 will be reimbursed; and the funding source is 520 for the Water Replacement and 515 for the Sewer Replacement.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 7

Supervisors Williamson and Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

Mayor Masayko encouraged the residents to contact Mr. Benzing regarding any other improvements they are planning to make so that they can be made without destroying the street overlay.

7. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - Director Walter Sullivan

A. ACTION TO APPROVE CARSON CITY'S POLICIES AND PROCEDURES REGARDING A HOMEOWNER HOUSING REHABILITATION GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$80,000 AWARDED TO CARSON CITY AND THE CITIZENS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING (CAHI) BY THE WESTERN NEVADA HOME CONSORTIUM (1-0970) - Discussion explained the type of items for which the funds could be used and the qualification requirements. The lead paint abatement program will be undertaken in the future. It will be used for homes constructed before 1976. The owner must repay the funds if the home is sold within five years. If the owner continues to occupy the home for six years, the loan does not have to be repaid. Mr. Sullivan thanked Ms. Hughey for her work on the program. Carson City and Citizens for Affordable Housing Representative Tom Finch's roles were explained. The program is similar to the rehabilitation program which had been implemented several years ago. The Sierra Pacific weatherization program and Mr. Flansberg's 50/50 sidewalk, curbs, and gutter improvement program will be combined with this program. Supervisor Williamson thanked staff for the efforts to obtain the grant. Funding for other programs in Lovelock and Indian Hills were limned. Benefits of this program were noted. Supervisor Williamson moved to approve Carson City's policies and procedures regarding a Homeowner Housing Rehabilitation Grant in the amount of \$80,000 awarded to Carson City and Citizens for Affordable Housing, CAHI, by the Western Nevada Home Consortium. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0. (1-1125) Mr. Sullivan introduced Ms. Hughey and Mr. Finch. Mayor Masayko welcomed them and thanked them for their efforts.

B. ACTION TO ADOPT ON SECOND READING BILL NO. 127, AN ORDINANCE EFFECTING A CHANGE OF LAND USE FROM SINGLE FAMILY ONE ACRE (SF1A) TO MULTI-FAMILY APARTMENT (MFA) ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 4870 OAK STREET, APN 009-191-13, AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO (1-1090) - Mayor Masayko indicated for the record that he had not received any comments concerning the Bill between first and second readings. Supervisor Williamson moved to adopt Bill No. 127 on second reading, Ordinance No. 2002-25, AN ORDINANCE EFFECTING A CHANGE OF LAND USE FROM SINGLE FAMILY ONE ACRE (SF1A) TO MULTI-FAMILY APARTMENT (MFA) ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 4870 OAK STREET, APN 009-191-13, AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

8. CITY MANAGER - John Berkich

A. ACTION TO APPROVE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. 0102-097 - GRANT WRITER/ADMINISTRATOR TO KEETON GRANTS MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS, INC., AS THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE BIDDER PURSUANT TO NRS CHAPTER 332 FOR A TOTAL COST OF \$67,278 (1-1135) - Dr. Teddy Keeton - Discussion indicated that Dr. Keeton is not related

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 8

to Tom Keeton, who was also present. Dr. Keeton explained her background, experience, involvement and perceived role in the grant writing process. A training program was included within the contract which will assist staff in handling small grants and programs. Dr. Keeton will handle the larger programs and grants. Discussion between Mr. Berkich and the Board explained that the contract with a Texas firm will only work on entitlement programs. If an overlap occurs, they will work together on the grants. Dr. Keeton indicated that she also has a contract with them and briefly limned how they work together. This process allows the Texas firm to pursue any grants she has not sought. Dr. Keeton also indicated that she is working on a fixed fee and that her costs are part of the administration costs included within the grants she obtains. Dr. Keeton's fiscal officer will be responsible for the reporting element required by the grant. She also proposed to train City staff on how to handle this documentation. Prior discussion between Dr. Keeton and Mr. Berkich had indicated the need to develop short and long term need assessments. Although the contract is for one year with two - one year renewal periods, Dr. Keeton felt that everyone should understand the contract would continue as long as the grants are renewed particularly if the administration costs include her services. This could make it a long term commitment. Her legal background was noted to illustrate her awareness of the legal requirements mandated within the grants and her ability to respond to it. She will be responsible as the "federal representative" for responding to the compliance issue(s) even if the original contract is not renewed. She also assured the Board that compliance is a "very, very" big issue. If they write the grant, the Board approves it as written, and it is obtained, it must go as written unless an adjustment is made. It use cannot be modified without telling the grantor. Mayor Masayko limned a grant compliance problem encountered by the City in the past to illustrate his concern. Dr. Keeton explained her experience with grant compliance to illustrate the intent to comply and stay within the boundary of the grant as written and obtained. She also indicated that she "keeps records forever" so that she would be able to meet the requirements. Discussion further described her grant writing experience.

Supervisor Williamson expressed concerns that the contract period be equally divided between Carson City and Douglas County. If it becomes apparent that one county is requiring more time than the other, a modification should be made to the contract and fees. She felt that it was an one year pilot program. Dr. Keeton explained her original involvement with the Twin Falls County Sheriff's Office which was to have been on a one year basis. That was eight years ago and the contract is continuing. She also explained her experience with dissenters and that it may be necessary to seek Board assistance/direction in some cases.

Discussion between Mayor Masayko and Mr. Berkich indicated that Lyon County had decided to use Western Nevada Development District's (WNDD) grant writing services rather than participate in the contract. Mr. Berkich also expressed the hope that the City will be able to work with WNDD on some of its grants, such as ones for the regional youth facility in Silver Springs. Mayor Masayko also noted that the contract included a \$500,000 threshold and expressed his hope that additional grants over this amount may be found. Mr. Berkich pointed out that their experience indicates this amount is realistic and it is hoped that good business sense will provide even more. Higher thresholds for the second and third years will be considered as they will justify continuing the contract. Discussion indicated that progress reports are to be provided periodically throughout the contract. The grants should not blind the Board/City by the "size of the dollars" and fail to consider the priorities, public need, and hidden costs that may occur. The cost benefit ratio should be included in the report(s). Board concerns about the use of this year's Contingency Funds for the contract were voiced. Mr. Berkich indicated for the record that staff had begun consideration of the contract at the time of the budget sessions, however, the contract had not been adequately

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 9

defined at that time to justify consideration or costs. The Board's desire to consider the contract during the normal March budget process including its cost benefit ratio was indicated. Mr. Berkich agreed and acknowledged that it would be a "short first year".

Supervisor Staub voiced his concern that there not be competition between the Keeton Group and WNDD particularly when consideration is given to the regional youth facility. He also supported Mayor Masayko's concerns regarding having the needs be the one chasing dollars and not vice versa. His concern about any grant commitments that would require future funding when the grant expires was limned. The Board is to be made aware of these long-term liabilities, including any staffing commitments or additional facilities. Mr. Berkich committed to having the Board approve any grants with budgetary impacts before submittal. There are to be no surprises. Mayor Masayko noted the policy is to have the Board accept the grants and directed that the Board be included in the decision making periods.

Discussion between Supervisor Livermore and Mr. Berkich indicated that it was felt that there would be benefits for Douglas County to want to continue to participate in the contract as well as Carson City. This feeling is based on the need for regionalized programs. Carson City's and Douglas County's wish lists for the first year included homeland security and regional youth programs. There will be a cooperative effort utilizing WNDD's efforts as strength in numbers provides better opportunities and, hopefully, more grants. The grant writer will report to Mr. Berkich's office and work closely with him.

Supervisor Plank pointed out that the cost of the contract is less than the cost of a full-time City employee to do the same work. A joint meeting with Douglas County can be held if a problem arises.

Supervisor Williamson limned her involvement in the process at WNDD. At that time, although it was suggested that WNDD take on the grant writing process, it had declined. RFPs were then solicited and the one from the Keeton group was received. Since that time WNDD has decided to take on the grant writing duties and had submitted a proposal which would cost Carson City \$20,000 for two positions. She then limned her support for the contract with Dr. Keeton, which is a pilot program. WNDD will proceed with its program even though the funding will only provide for one staff position. In the future if the City desires to do so, additional counties/cities/communities can be added to Dr. Keeton's contract. Competition had been addressed within the contract and through communication and should not occur. Mayor Masayko felt that established performance and accountability standards should be developed and shared with the Board by both entities as well as with each other. Clarification indicated that the Airport Authority had not been included in the listing. Mr. Berkich agreed to discuss it with Douglas County and bring it back. Supervisor Staub stressed the Airport's need for grants. Mayor Masayko pointed out that the concept was for homeland security and regional youth facilities items. The Airport's homeland security items should be added without cost to the Airport. The Airport's transportation issues are to be considered differently. He also felt that a second tier of grants could be for Airport construction and infrastructure items even though they are expensive to monitor and audit. Mr. Berkich indicated that these grants had not been considered, however, if they relate to homeland security they could be. He agreed to keep the Board apprised of any impact this would have on the contract. Additional public comments were solicited but none were given. Mayor Masayko reiterated that the contract is for one year. Clarification indicated that the total contract is for \$70,000, however, the City's commitment from the Contingency Fund is only \$35,000. Supervisor Williamson moved to approve the award of Contract No.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 10

0102-097, Grant Writer/Administrator to Keeton Grants Management Systems, Incorporated, as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder pursuant to NRS Chapter 332 for a total cost of \$35,000 from Carson City with a complete cost of \$70,000 between the two Counties. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Supervisor Williamson amended her motion to include the fiscal impact to Carson City of \$35,000. Discussion between Mayor Masayko and Mr. Berkich indicated the contract is a tri-party agreement among Carson City, Douglas County and Keeton Grants Management Systems. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0. Mayor Masayko indicated that the contract has a positive potential.

B. ACTION TO APPROVE AN INTERLOCAL CONTRACT WITH DOUGLAS COUNTY TO FUND THE GRANT ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM (1-2156) - Mayor Masayko pointed out that the previous discussion indicated the need for this contract. Mr. Berkich recognized Supervisor Williamson's role in the process and the commitment to work with WNDD cooperatively to make it a successful regional program. Supervisor Williamson moved to approve an interlocal contract with Douglas County to fund the grant administration program. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

C. UPDATE AND DISCUSSION ON LEGISLATIVE MATTERS (1-2204) - Mary Walker explained the special legislative session and concerns relating to increasing the \$50,000 tort cap for local governments. The medial insurance issue encountered in Las Vegas was described. Its impact is now being felt in this area. Carson-Tahoe Hospital lost its tort cap when it became a private, nonprofit organization. The special session, however, extended the coverage to all hospitals for trauma patients. Issues regarding the reporting procedures for medical errors and the proposed revision were limned. The Senate was convinced that the change(s) were unwarranted and should be left as is and reported to the State. The key issue for the next session was felt to be the long-term care. Options to transfer this program to the State are being developed. It was felt that this transfer would reduce the City's commitment to this program from ten cents to four cents. This option may not work as both Washoe and Clark Counties are already transferring their long-term care programs to the State. A second option would shift only the rural programs to the State. This would reduce the tax rate to 6.5 cents for fiscal year 0203. The cost appears to be growing at a rate of one-half of a percent per year. If this option is approved, it is recommended that this tax rate be outside the \$3.64 tax cap and the ten-cent welfare cap. The problem with this program, however, is that the growth in Carson City's revenue is less than the growth in costs. Only Douglas and Storey Counties are not experiencing this revenue shortage. The third option would be to continue seeking one-time one-shot funding support from the Legislature every session. Problems encountered with this program were described. For this reason a policy was suggested that would consider the tax rate and taxing efforts for any County which has already incurred more expenses than the tax rate generates in revenue and have the overage be paid by the "bailout" fund. Final projection costs and comparisons of the revenue have been provided by NACO. Concepts/proposals will be discussed at the August 9th NACO meeting. A final NACO decision may be made in November. A cost containment provision for long-term care is to be discussed with the State next week.

The Governor's tax force on taxes should be issuing a report soon. Several different proposals were cited to illustrate the complexity of this issue. She commended the business tax group on its offer to consider some increases even though its proposals did not expand the tax base. She felt that an expansion of the sales tax base would occur and described the proposal to expand the service tax base when connected to the purchase of goods, i.e., the purchase of a car or refrigerator with a tax on its service agreement. She felt that professional services would not

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 11

be considered as such an increase would impact the medical industry which is already in trouble. Tax increases on both gaming and property may occur. This will change the \$3.64 property tax cap to allow local governments to have the \$3.64 cap and give the State the difference between \$3.64 and \$5.00. Support for a bifurcated tax rate was indicated including one suggestion that a fifty-cent emergency tax rate be provided. The State may also move to shift some of its responsibilities to the Counties or remove some revenue sources, e.g., the motor vehicle privilege tax. Discussion has also included transferring some of Clark County's revenue sources to the State. This could also impact Carson City's revenue sources. It may be important that the City take on an education program to show that Clark County's funding sources should not be looked at in the same vein as Carson City's.

The Senate Bill 557 Committee is looking at fuel tax indexing. Nevada's fuel tax program does not at this time include an inflation factor. Ms. Walker was uncertain whether there is adequate time between now and its last meeting in mid-August to consider the concept. Depreciation has been discussed on a limited basis. Due to its complexity, it may not be brought forward. Concerns regarding the tax on utilities acquired by local governments were noted. Businesses seem to feel that local government's ability to escape taxes on these utilities is unfair competition. This is a complex issue which may not be addressed.

A bill draft on public works projects of less than \$100,000 has been approved by the Committee. Benefits of this concept were limned. No legislation has been submitted on either the childcare programs or the latch key programs. Discussions are continuing with Southern Nevada on its two problems. The issues regarding Churchill County Telephone Company's expansion into the cable business were noted. Concerns regarding having the Public Utilities Commission expand its oversight to include local governmental services were stressed. The committee's policy statement which would allow local government to expand its services into areas not covered by private industry was read and explained. This policy appears to be an "anti-home rule" statement. She felt that it should be a voluntary restriction rather than a mandatory restriction. The use of project management for projects over \$10 million may be considered next session. The status of the mental health amendments was limned. These issues may not all be addressed by the Governor's budget due to the lack of time to complete the study/plan. Legislative health care and senior care concerns were noted including the lack of Nevada senior services for patients with dementia/Alzheimer's. Currently private providers and the State are sending all such patients to other States for care. The State is supporting the hospital and the need for a program. Carson-Tahoe's special amendment on disproportionate share needs to be renewed. Her concerns regarding the use of a private consultant and the "hidden" final report on the disproportionate share program were explained. It was her understanding that the State staff and one of the consultants are attempting to protect the rurals so that they will not lose money while redistributing the funding from Southern Nevada. The Board thanked her for her report. No formal action was required or taken on these issues.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (1-2905) - Mayor Masayko then recessed the Board of Supervisors session and passed the gavel to Chairperson Williamson who convened the Redevelopment Authority. For Minutes of the Redevelopment authority, see its folder.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Following adjournment of the Redevelopment Authority, Chairperson Williamson returned the gavel to Mayor Masayko who reconvened the Board of Supervisors session. The entire Board was present, constituting a quorum.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 12

D. ACTION TO APPROVE A BILL DRAFT REQUEST TO AMEND NRS TO CORRECT A TECHNICAL ERROR IN SB 197 FROM THE 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION WHICH WOULD PROVIDE THAT THE CURRENT ASSESSED VALUE OF ANY LAND ACQUIRED BY THE STATE THAT BECOMES STATE-EXEMPT PROPERTY WILL BE REMOVED FROM THE REDEVELOPMENT DISTRICT BASE AND NOT THE INCREMENTAL INCREASE OF THE ASSESSED VALUATION USED IN THE FUNDING OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCIES (2-0038) - Mayor Masayko solicited public comments on the bill draft. None were given. Supervisor Plank moved to approve the bill draft request as recommended by the Redevelopment Authority. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

9. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS - CONTINUATION OF NON-ACTION ITEMS (2-0070) - None.

RECESS: A recess was declared at 11:45 a.m. The entire Board was present when Mayor Masayko reconvened the meeting at 1:30 p.m., constituting a quorum.

10. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS - ACTION TO RECONSIDER SUSTAINING THE DECISION OF THE CARSON CITY SHERIFF TO DENY A WORK PERMIT FOR ROBERT LAURITZEN (1-0075) - Mayor Masayko explained that the letter from Mr. Lauritzen's employer had not been placed in the Board packet when the denial was originally considered. It has since been found and is contained in today's packet. He had contacted the casino manager and asked that a copy of the letter be obtained. He, therefore, requested reconsideration of the action as this information may warrant consideration of a conditional work permit. Mr. Lauritzen's lengthy record and the numerous convictions were noted. He felt that Mr. Lauritzen should present any and all information that he has that will support his contention that he has changed his lifestyle. The referenced letters were read into the record. Mr. Lauritzen submitted a letter from Shirley Nelson of the Community Counseling Center which was read into the record. His involvement in Narcotics Anonymous classes and with Deputy Guimont in residential treatment classes at the Detox Center, which is also known as the Community Treatment Center, was limned. He explained the disposition of the arrest on the controlled substance charge and the possession charge. He is not working at this time. He is involved in church groups five days a week and is taking classes at the Community Center to become a counselor. In two years he will be eligible for employment there. He is current on his probation costs but funding is tight. He is also required to pay some of the monitoring costs. He lives in Carson City, is married and has seven children and seven grandchildren.

The Board discussed with Chief Deputy District Attorney Forsberg its options and whether the Board has the ability to issue a conditional work permit. The discussion also explained the Sheriff's position and the Code which allow the Sheriff to issue a conditional work permit. Mr. Forsberg felt that the Board could issue a conditional work permit which would be revoked if Mr. Lauritzen is arrested on any charge.

Mr. Lauritzen explained that he had not listed his arrests on the application as being based on his belief that, as he could not remember all of the dates and charges, the Sheriff had the ability to obtain copies of them and, as long as he indicated there had been arrests, he had answered the question(s) correctly. The arrests covered a twenty-year span. He could not recall whether he had included the Seattle assault on the application or if he had only told the investigating deputy about it. He felt that there had not been a conviction, therefore, the charge should have been

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 13

wiped off the record. He had not attempted to hide the arrests. Mayor Masayko indicated that these arrests ranged from 16 to 30 years ago. Mr. Lauritzen reiterated that none of these arrests had resulted in a conviction. Mayor Masayko pointed out that the application indicates that if the four lines are inadequate to list all of the arrests, additional sheets are to be used. His 2000 and 2001 arrests filled up the four lines that were provided.

Supervisor Staub explained his concern with the Hearing Officer's statement that she had felt there were insufficient mitigating reasons to overturn the Sheriff's denial. The purpose of the work permit is to protect the health, safety and welfare of the community and not have unsavory characters working in the gaming industry. Mr. Lauritzen is doing all that he can to rehabilitate himself. An individual's need for a job should be considered as part of the due process. A work permit is required in order for him to obtain a job. Without work, Mr. Lauritzen and similar individuals in his position will resort to other means of providing support for themselves and their families. Supervisor Staub could not condone the failure to list all arrests. The information should have been provided as required on additional sheets. It is not the Sheriff's responsibility to find the information. This could create a mix up in individuals/records. The Board should be able, as the appellant to the hearing officer, to overturn the denial. The Sheriff's Office can revoke the permit if another arrest occurs. Mayor Masayko felt that the Board could condition the permit on his not being arrested for any other charge that is a felony. It was noted that the ordinance says either a felony or misdemeanor.

Supervisor Livermore explained his personal experience as an employer. He appreciated having the letters from the employer and Ms. Nelson and the comments regarding the plan to train him for a higher position. He did not feel that society would be threatened by issuing a conditional work permit and it could be beneficial if Mr. Lauritzen walks the straight and narrow path. He was willing to issue the conditional permit based on the employer's letter. Mayor Masayko noted for the record that neither his discussion with the employer nor the letter had guaranteed Mr. Lauritzen a job if and when the permit is issued. The commitment was a willingness to make him eligible for rehire. Board discussion indicated that the Board would have to trust the system to notify the Board if Mr. Lauritzen is arrested. If the Board reads about the arrest in the newspaper, one of them could contact the Sheriff and ask that the permit be pulled. Mr. Lauritzen indicated that his Probation Officer had indicated that she would keep the Board posted on his status. She purportedly had intended to provide a letter to the Board in this regard, however, time and her workload had not allowed her to do so. Mayor Masayko asked that he provide her name and address so that a Board notice could be sent to her to keep her in the loop. Mr. Lauritzen indicated she is Jeannie Weeks. Mayor Masayko asked that this information be provided in writing.

Supervisor Staub moved to reverse the decision of the Carson City Sheriff's Office to deny a work permit for Robert Lauritzen and order the issuance of a work permit with the conditions that Mr. Lauritzen not be arrested for a felony or a gross misdemeanor and that he shall--. Discussion on whether to include an automatic review in the future or after a period of time indicated that it should not be included. Supervisor Staub then indicated that the motion stopped immediately after misdemeanor. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Mayor Masayko amended the motion to indicate that the permit was conditioned on Mr. Lauritzen not being arrested in the future for any felony or gross misdemeanor which would be an automatic revocation of the permit by the Board. Supervisor Staub then amended his motion to state that if Mr. Lauritzen is arrested for a felony or gross misdemeanor, he shall immediately surrender his workcard or it is summarily revoked. Supervisor Livermore concurred with the amendment. The motion as amended was voted and carried 4-1 with Supervisor Plank voting Naye. Mayor Masayko reiterated his

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 14

request that Mr. Lauritzen submit the name and address of the probation officer. Mr. Lauritzen agreed for the record to the terms of the issuance. Mayor Masayko reiterated the motion and the requirement that he immediately surrender the work permit upon an arrest. Board comments stressed that he was being given a second chance and that he should not let them down. Supervisor Livermore suggested that he remember his seven children and seven grandchildren who are counting on him. They are more important than anything else and wished him luck.

11. CARSON CITY AIRPORT AUTHORITY - Attorney and Representative Steve Tackes - ACTION TO APPROVE MUTUAL ROAD AND AVIATION USE EASEMENT (2-0530) - Mayor Masayko disclosed his involvement. The easement puts an audit exception to rest. Supervisor Plank disclosed his history with and support for the request. Mayor Masayko briefly limned the previous discussion with the grant writer consultant and encouraged the Authority to take advantage of the homeland security grants. Public comments were solicited but none were given. Board discussion indicated the agreement had been signed by the Airport Authority and that the fence had been repaired last week. Supervisor Plank moved to approve the Mutual Road and Aviation Use Easement as presented; no fiscal impact. Supervisor Staub seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

12. DISTRICT ATTORNEY - Chief Deputy District Attorney Mark Forsberg

A. ACTION APPROVING REIMBURSEMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,000 FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED BY THE LAW FIRM OF EDWIN T. BASL FOR REPRESENTATION OF UNDERSHERIFF WILLIAM CALLAHAN AND DEPUTY SHERIFFS KEN SANDAGE, ROD KING, FRED SCHOENFELDT AND STEVE JOHNSON IN THE PRIVATE CITIZEN CRIMINAL COMPLAINT FILED BY ROLLAND WEDDELL AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO (1-0665) - Mayor Masayko noted for the record that the case had been closed. Mr. Forsberg felt that at the time he had drafted the Board Request Form there were additional expenses for expunging the records. Recent Supreme Court decisions, however, have made it possible for the District Attorney's office to undertake this process. Therefore, there should not be any more costs involved with the case. Supervisor Livermore supported the request for reimbursement as the cost is a small amount when compared to what has been paid for other cases. Also, in fairness to the employees, the City should pay for all costs incurred when the employees are carrying their superior's orders. Public discussion was solicited but none was given. Supervisor Williamson moved to approve the reimbursement in the amount of \$2,000 for legal services rendered by the law firm of Edwin T. Basl for representation of Undersheriff William Callahan and Deputy Sheriffs Ken Sandage, Rod King, Fred Schoenfeldt and Steve Johnson in the private citizen criminal complaint filed by Rolland Weddell, and other matters properly related thereto; fiscal impact is \$2,000 from the Risk Management Budget. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

B. CLOSED SESSION - ACTION TO RECESS INTO CLOSED SESSION PURSUANT TO NRS 241.020(B)(2) PURSUANT TO THE NEVADA OPEN MEETING LAW TO MEET WITH ATTORNEYS REGARDING PENDING OR THREATENED LITIGATION REGARDING THE ABANDONMENT OF STREETS ON LAND ORIGINALLY DESIGNATED AS A FEDERAL TOWNSITE (2-0780) - Mayor Masayko explained the need to go into a closed session. Supervisor Staub explained his need to recuse himself due to a conflict of interest as he had paid for a street abandonment which could impact his decision. He then left the meeting--2:15 p.m. (A quorum was still present.) Supervisor Plank moved that the Board recess into a closed

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 15

session pursuant to NRS 241.020(B)(2) pursuant to the Nevada Open Meeting Law to meet with attorneys regarding pending or threatened litigation regarding the abandonment of streets on land originally designated as a federal townsite; no fiscal impact. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0-1 with Supervisor Staub absent. Mayor Masayko recessed the Open Session at 2:16 p.m.

RECESS: The Closed Session adjourned at 3:30 p.m. Mayor Masayko reconvened the Open Session at 6 p.m. The entire Board was present constituting a quorum. Members of the Charter Review Committee who were present were: Chairperson Shelly Aldean, Vice Chairperson Donna DePauw, and Members Ernie Adler—arrived at 6:15 p.m., Dave Cook, Pat Jackson, Gail Parsons, Vivian Pickett, Richard Reich—arrived at 6:45 p.m., Jeanne Simons, Steve Suwe—arrived at 6:52 p.m., and John Vettel. Staff members present included: City Manager John Berkich, Clerk-Recorder Alan Glover, Deputy District Attorney Jason Woodbury, and Recording Secretary Katherine McLaughlin.

13. JOINT MEETING WITH THE CARSON CITY CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE

A. ROLL CALL (2-0809) - Mayor Masayko convened the Board meeting. Roll call of the Committee was taken. A quorum was present although Members Reich, Suwe, and Aldean had not yet arrived. Mayor Masayko explained the protocol that would be followed during the meeting. Chairperson Aldean thanked the Board for the opportunity to have a joint session.

B. PRESENTATION OF A POSITION PAPER OF THE CARSON CITY CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE ADVOCATING ITS POSITION THAT ELECTION OF SUPERVISORS IN CARSON CITY SHOULD BE REFORMED BY REQUIRING THAT NOMINATIONS BE CONDUCTED AT THE WARD LEVEL (2-0824) - Chairperson Aldean explained the Committee's position that the Supervisors should be nominated by the Wards in the Primary Election and elected by the community at large in the General Election. Benefits and advantages of the concept were limned. She asked that the record indicate that the Committee had unanimously supported this position. Mayor Masayko stated for the record that he would not have to stand for election under this process and that the item had been agenzized for discussion only. Before the process can be changed, action by the Board must be taken. Supervisor Plank explained his opposition to the proposal as all of the advertisements and solicitations are done Citywide. He did not believe that it would change the ballot numbers as some precincts turn out and others don't. The residents feel that the Board represents the entire City and that they can successfully talk to any one Supervisor regarding any issues and get things done. He also felt that a hard-working candidate could beat the incumbent, the same as he had done. The community is like a small bowl and all of the issues should be considered for the good of the City rather than a specific area.

Clerk-Recorder Alan Glover explained the impact the revision would have on his office and the elections. A fiscal note would be required before the item could be sent to the Legislature. It was his belief that the fiscal impact would be fairly large. The ethnic composition of the precincts was explained. After the census information is compiled, he will be advised as to whether he will have to have Spanish ballots for any of the precincts/wards. At this time it was felt that no one was being disenfranchised as the different cultures were evenly spread throughout the community.

Supervisor Livermore suggested that it may be time for an advisory question on the ballot to determine the

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 16

electorates' views as it had been six to eight years since the last time the question had appeared on a ballot. Member Alder suggested that if the question is on the ballot, the electorate be given several options to consider. He suggested the proposed election by Wards for the Primary and by the community as a whole for the General or have the selection of the Mayor by the entire City and have two, three, or four ward selections. It will reduce the campaign area and costs of an election while insuring that everyone gets to vote for someone. He had a problem with having areas which do not have the opportunity to vote for a supervisor. Member Cook felt that the process promoted voter participation. He stressed that it was not being suggested as a reflection on anyone currently serving on the Board. He acknowledged that it may be more expensive for the community but it provided a balance for public participation. He, too, felt that the electorate should voice its opinion on the concept. Mayor Masayko pointed out that there would not be anyone standing for election for the School District as all of the candidates except one were running unopposed. The lack of filings speak volumes for the incumbents. Member Vettel felt that the proposed process would encourage more individuals to participate. The precinct lines could be adjusted when the census is completed. This would eliminate the need for small precincts. Supervisor Staub felt that the cost of the election is an issue the public needed to understand. The cost to the candidates will remain the same as the advertisements go out Citywide. The concept eliminates candidates at the Ward level. He did not feel that the revision would encourage any more people to run for office or to vote. This is a public issue that goes beyond the confines of the City. Supervisor Plank pointed out the large number of registrations as compared to the number of actual voters who participate. Mr. Glover explained that there had been an 80 percent turnout for the last General Election, which was a presidential election. He felt that the turn out for the coming primary election would be in the 30 percent range. Supervisor Plank felt that this is due to a lack of interest on the electorate's part. Election by wards will not fix this. The Assessor has only two individuals running for the office. The Treasurer and District Attorney have no opposition. Supervisor Staub felt that the election by wards should be done in both the primary and general elections, otherwise it will not make a difference. Board comments pointed out its concern that election by wards will split the Board into fractions and require deals to be cut to accomplish anything. Discussion also indicated that an advisory question could not be placed on this year's ballot as it had to be submitted before July 15. Chairperson Aldean felt that the concept could be a proving ground for individuals and that there could be some cost savings. She thanked the Board for the discussion. Supervisor Livermore felt that the change needed to start with neighborhood committees and work its way up to the precinct and ward levels. The Board thanked the Committee for its efforts to convince the Board that a change was warranted and encouraged the Committee to look for another mechanism to encourage voter participation. It was felt to be a matter of philosophy, politics, and a difference of opinion. No formal action was taken or required.

C. ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION BY THE CARSON CITY CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE RECOMMENDING TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO RECOMMEND TO THE NEVADA STATE LEGISLATURE THAT THE CARSON CITY CHARTER BE AMENDED TO CREATE A BODY WHICH HAS THE PURPOSE OF ADVISING THE CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ON ISSUES INVOLVING PUBLIC SAFETY (2-1575) - Deputy District Attorney Jason Woodbury, Monte Fast - The Committee had not made a recommendation as to whether the Board should appoint the committee members as an established permanent committee or be a flexible committee that could respond when issues arise. Chairperson Aldean felt that the concept would provide an avenue for people to question the Sheriff's duties and responses without requiring a grand jury to be convened. Mr. Fast explained his belief that the committee would have been able to respond to the issues raised by the recent grand jury and the citizen's arrest. The Statutes

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 17

allow the committee to be established. (A copy of his letter was given to the Board.) It serves as a check and balance on the sheriff. One of the candidates for the Sheriff's office had supported his suggestion. The current process requires the candidates to be part of the process and serve under the current Sheriff. Change cannot be implemented. The recall process and the grand jury process are very costly and time consuming. Mayor Masayko explained that none of the other elected offices had such an oversight committee. Supervisor Williamson felt that professionals from other law enforcement offices could run for the office and win. There is no guarantee that you will win if you file just because you are a member of the Sheriff's Office. Candidates must work hard and solicit funds from all kinds of sources and electorate support to win the election. She also pointed out that the deputies are members of a collective bargaining union. The Board has power over the Sheriff's budget. The majority of the items raised by the grand jury had been thrown out by the Courts. She acknowledged that a public safety committee could have been established to develop the public safety complex master plan. Creating a standing committee was not felt to be the most efficient manner in which to proceed. Mayor Masayko pointed out that the last grand jury was called by an initiative petition. Only 15/20 percent of the electorate is required for an initiative petition or recall. Therefore, a recall is feasible. He agreed that a recall election may not remove the individual but 3400 signatures do get attention. Supervisor Staub pointed out that former Sheriff McGrath had not been from the Sheriff's Office. This indicated that a professionally trained individual could be selected for this position. The Sheriff's Office always has a lot of individuals running for it. The individuals may not be good managers but they are good trained professionals. He also questioned the authority that the committee would have as it appears that the purpose is to advise the Board on public safety issues. This would include more than just the Sheriff's Office. He questioned the authority that the Board would have to deal with any information the committee submits outside of the budget. Money would not change anything. Public safety is needed for the 54,000 residents of the community. The committee's purpose needs to be better defined. The residents have authority over the Sheriff whom they have elected twice. Mayor Masayko pointed out that there is no County model for this committee anywhere in the State. Supervisor Livermore pointed out that throughout his tenure on the Board he had never heard of any problems with the Sheriff's office before this meeting. Therefore, he had difficulty understanding the concerns. There are five individuals running for the office of whom two are not from the Department.

Mr. Fast briefly explained that the grand jury had received information which indicated there is a need for the committee. It was his personal belief that the committee was warranted and should be established. He had not brought in the other jury members to support the request. As the NRS allows the establishment of a committee, he felt that the time was right to do it. The committee could not remove the sheriff. Supervisor Livermore pointed out that neither could the Board. Mr. Fast reiterated his belief that it would make the process better. Supervisor Plank pointed out that the concept had been created by an ill-conceived grand jury who had developed a report which a judge had refused to release. It was felt that the report had been based on half truths, incomplete facts, cheap shots, etc., which had created a perception and should not be used to change government. There are five good candidates for sheriff. All were trained professionals which is not always the case in other areas. If the elected candidate does not work out well, the deputies union and the public can change it. Mayor Masayko acknowledged that Mr. Fast had information which neither the Board nor the committee could hear or act on. An elected official must report to the community and the electorate. A committee could have its own political agenda which could not be positive for the sheriff. He also questioned whether the Board would be able to find the correct political mix for the committee. The committee could cause more problems than it would solve. If a committee is needed in the future, one could be established.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 18

Discussion between the Board and Member Simons indicated that the Board currently has more than 30 advisory committees. They report to the Board on issues, functions, and activities over which the Board has authority to administer, change policy, and insure the policy. Individuals with problems with the Sheriff's office or District Attorney's office are referred to the Sheriff or District Attorney or its internal affairs officer. Member Simons felt that a public safety advisory committee should be established the same as the Parks and Recreation Commission. The grand jury report should be released. Mayor Masayko pointed out that the committee would lack the cause and effect needed to make it work. The electorate serves the same purpose. The Board does not have the authority to take punitive action against the sheriff or district attorney. Member Simons felt that the committee could be used as another sounding board to help the District Attorney's office obtain the private investigator he had been requesting for many years. It will not interfere with daily activities. Mayor Masayko reiterated the belief that the committee will not serve the purpose as suggested.

Member Cook reiterated his belief that the Board should have more control over the Sheriff than just his budget. Accountability must be somewhere. The Board's review of the budget includes its functions, missions, and goals. The committee could measure these items. The proposal will place the committee in the charter and not amend the Statutes. Mayor Masayko explained that the Board lacks any enforcement over the Sheriff even though it provides the budget and considers the public safety issues in the budget. The Sheriff decides how the funds are to be allocated.

Chairperson Aldean indicated that the proposal would provide a sounding board for the community. The Statutes allow the creation of the committee. Its statutory functions, the Committee's composition and duties were noted. The committee does not have to be adversarial. She believed that all of the candidates would support the concept if the Board established it. The committee could provide a conduit between the Board and the Sheriff as well as a public hearing board for any grievances, concerns, etc. It did not have to be a standing committee but could be one that is convened as needed.

Supervisor Staub reiterated his concerns regarding the lack of authority over the sheriff and the purpose of the committee. The Board's only control is over the budget. The Board will not cut the personnel or close the jail. The Board is responsible to the community and must protect its health, safety, and welfare. The committee could become an adversarial sounding board for every unhappy individual or piece of information. Discussion indicated that neither the Sheriff nor anyone from the Sheriff's Office had been asked to present comments on the concept. He questioned why must it be sent to the Legislature if the Statutes already allow it to happen. He suggested that the newly elected sheriff be approached about the concept and, if he supports it, the committee could be established. There are judicial remedies when sheriffs fail to perform their duties. The Attorney General and the State Ethics Commission can assist when this failure occurs. The last recourse is the electorate. He reiterated his questions concerning the purpose and authority. (Member Simons stepped from the room at 7:35 p.m. A quorum was present.)

Supervisor Livermore pointed out that during the budget process the Board had listen to a full evening of issues and needs which were raised by the Sheriff and the Fire Department and how they were going to provide for public safety. The communications system is operated by the Sheriff's Office. This is not a statutory requirement. They had convinced the Board to add two more dispatchers. They have plans and missions. It is not the Board's authority to promote the personnel or assign them. The same is true with the District Attorney. Will the District Attorney have to go to the oversight committee to hire someone? Will they be able to discuss the gang problem and law compliance

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 19

problems and comply with the Open Meeting Law? How can this be done legally without compromising public safety? (Member Simons returned at 7:40 p.m. A quorum was present.) He questioned the power, the authority, and purpose of the committee and its interaction with the Board.

Supervisor Plank felt that a 12-member panel could lobby for the Sheriff to the detriment of other Departments. He felt that when there is a concern, the Undersheriff has been good about responding to it. The Internal Auditor watches over the books as recommended by the grand jury. The new Internal Auditor will include performance auditing among his duties which will address some of the issues which have been raised.

Public comments were solicited. Dave Morgan pointed out that the public has access to the reports and public records which are not of an investigative nature. He felt that a local contractor had received miles of advertising in the local newspapers as result of the grand jury investigation. Both he and the local newspapers could be contacted to get assistance if there is a complaint. His personal research of the Sheriff's records regarding a kidnap case was explained to illustrate the need for people to do their homework when issues are raised. The candidates are looking at reimplementing the local neighborhood watch program and having volunteer forces help the patrol officers. There are other methods of holding the sheriff's office accountable.

John Wagner felt that one of the suggested requirements for the candidates would disqualify one of the candidates. Review boards often become "witch hunts" which is not needed in Carson City. Police officers are our friends and not our enemies. Everyone has problems with the Sheriff's office as indicated by his personal example. He did not want a police review board. It is also possible to have a sheriff who handles the jail and a police chief who handles all of the other duties. This is similar to San Francisco's program. He did not believe that this program was needed in Carson City as a new sheriff will handle the problems. Additional public comments were solicited but none were given.

Discussion pointed out that the Committee's agenda had included time specific items which the Board's agenda had not contained. Mayor Masayko expressed his desire to reach the decision points.

Chairperson Aldean pointed out for clarification of the record that the intent had not been to create the advisory board as defined under the statutes with its mandatory requirements. A frank discussion on the need for the committee was needed and had occurred. The Committee's purview does not allow it to go to the Sheriff to create the committee. The Committee's mission is to make recommendations on how to amend the Charter. This is the reason the proposal was brought to the Board.

Mayor Masayko requested a motion on the proposal. No action was taken.

RECESS: A recess was declared at 7:50 p.m. When the meeting was reconvened at 8 p.m., the entire Board was present, constituting a quorum. Committee Member Adler was absent and Committee Member Simons returned at 8:10 p.m. A quorum of the Committee was still present.

D. ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION BY THE CARSON CITY CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE RECOMMENDING TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO RECOMMEND

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 20

TO THE NEVADA STATE LEGISLATURE THAT THE CARSON CITY CHARTER BE AMENDED TO INCLUDE A NEW SECTION 1.130 REQUIRING THAT ALL ELECTED OFFICIALS IN CARSON CITY PARTICIPATE IN AN ORIENTATION REGARDING THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEVADA OPEN MEETING LAW, THE NEVADA ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT LAW, AND OTHER LEGAL OR ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS PRIOR TO TAKING OFFICE; AND E. ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION BY THE CARSON CITY CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE RECOMMENDING TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO RECOMMEND TO THE NEVADA STATE LEGISLATURE THAT THE CARSON CITY CHARTER BE AMENDED TO INCLUDE A NEW SECTION 1.120 REQUIRING THAT ALL INDIVIDUALS APPOINTED TO AN ADMINISTRATIVE, ADVISORY, EXECUTIVE, OR LEGISLATIVE BODY OF CARSON CITY WHICH EXPENDS OR DISBURSES OR IS SUPPORTED IN WHOLE OR IN PART BY TAX REVENUE OR WHICH ADVISES OR MAKES RECOMMENDATIONS TO ANY ENTITY WHICH EXPENDS OR DISBURSES OR IS SUPPORTED IN WHOLE OR IN PART BY TAX REVENUE PARTICIPATE IN AN ORIENTATION REGARDING THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEVADA OPEN MEETING LAW, THE NEVADA ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT LAW, AND ANY OTHER LEGAL AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS PRIOR TO PARTICIPATION IN ANY DISCUSSION BY THAT BODY OR TAKING ANY ACTION ON BEHALF OF THAT BODY (1-3302) - Mayor Masayko

questioned the perceptions that there are open meeting law and ethic concerns that training will cure at the Carson City level. They are functions and the laws that the Board and the advisory committees try to follow. There are an Attorney General's manual and a Deputy Attorney General available to insure that the Open Meeting Law and the ethics codes are adhered to. The proposal will make this training mandatory which will create a fiscal and time impact on the volunteers. He was not aware of any complaints regarding any Carson City elected officials or advisory committee members. The Board takes an oath to uphold the laws the same as the committee members do. The three Deputy District Attorneys attend a lot of meetings insuring that the laws are complied with. He questioned the penalty for failing to comply with the proposal. His attendance at an Open Meeting Law seminar was limned. He questioned the need for the requirement if there has not been a problem in the past. In light of the fiscal impact, he suggested that the Statutes should address the issue.

(3-0010) Member Suwe explained his first encounter with the Open Meeting Law. He felt that there was a better way for him to have learned about the law and the ethics requirements. Based on this feeling, the elected officials were added to the requirement. There is recourse if elected officials fail to follow the laws. The Board could fire the committee members for the same failure.

Mayor Masayko pointed out that the Charter Committee is unique as it only meets every two years. When it convenes, there are new members. The other committees are standing committees who have sporadic new members with Department Heads who train the one or two new members. These regulations are part of the turf. They are good laws and should be followed. The Deputy District Attorney's office could/should have a session on the Open Meeting Law and the Ethics requirements in a group setting for whatever committee desires it. This will ensure that the information is correct. He was concerned about imposing something on elected officials who must uphold the laws and the volunteer committees who spend a lot of time and could be overwhelmed by these regulations. The staff assists the committees in staying on track. He opposed complicating the volunteers' process by mandating the training.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 21

Chairperson Aldean explained that she had been approached about a Planning Commissioner who should have recused him/herself but did not. The District Attorney should have advised her to do so but may not have been aware of the conflict of interest. The Committee had not intended to create a fiscal impact. The District Attorney's office had tried to provide training. Former Supervisor Tom Tatro had felt strongly about the need to have a code of ethics. It is a cost-effective program which is better than being naive and inadvertently violating the law. The District Attorney should provide the training at the first meeting. The Charter Review Committee is unique. Discussion ensued concerning when and who should receive the training. Chairperson Aldean felt that 15 to 20 minutes should be adequate for training on these requirements. Member Vettel did not feel that it should be an extensive class or unnecessarily expensive. Mayor Masayko encouraged the Members and any other Committee Members to contact the District Attorney's office if additional information/training is desired. He also felt that the Committee could impose the requirement upon itself but should not on other Boards and committees. Discussion noted that the Board's ability to remove an individual for failing to comply had not been included in the resolution. It was felt that the Board had the ability to add it.

Supervisor Williamson explained her personal knowledge of the training provided to the Carson River Advisory Committee and the Redevelopment Authority Advisory Committee. This knowledge indicated to her that the City staff is well trained on these issues and that conflicts are highlighted by either the Chair, the Recording Secretary, or Department staff. Mr. Glover reiterated that the Committee is unique. For that reason his staff had agenzized the training. Supervisor Williamson also explained the training provided by NACO and at UNR for elected officers. Additional training presented by the District Attorney's office was noted. Everyone should avail him/herself of this training. She was not sure that it should be part of the Charter. She also suggested that copies of the Attorney General's manual be given to each member.

Supervisor Plank explained his personal attendance with the Mayor at a power session on these laws. His concern with the requirement is mandating that it be done before the person takes office. He was unsure whether UNR would be continuing its training seminar. He also suggested that the Chairperson receive training on it every two years for thirty minutes or an hour. He did not think that it should be in the Charter. The cost should not be prohibitive. He also commended the Recording Secretaries for keeping track of the discussion to prohibit getting off track. The volunteers should receive the information. It should be a regular assignment to receive some training. A resolution should be used rather than making it a Charter requirement. Mayor Masayko agreed with this suggestion. Mayor Masayko also encouraged anyone who observes or believes there is a violation of the Open Meeting Law to contact the District Attorney's and Attorney General's offices.

Supervisor Livermore expressed his support for the Committee's recommendation specifically for elected officials. He had taken a class at the University and may take a refresher course in January. He was also concerned about adding it to the Charter. He supported the recommendation that a resolution be drafted.

Supervisor Staub pointed out that he has encountered several conflicts. Being forewarned is to be forearmed. It is important to ask "can we talk about this?". An example illustrating the concern was cited which dealt with the Liquor License. He supported having a resolution with annual training and thanked the Committee for bringing the recommendation forward.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 22

Mr. Glover explained as the President of the Nevada Association of County Clerks that acts by County Commissioners/Board of Supervisors adding requirements to elected officials duties are unconstitutional. This matter is currently in litigation. The proposal is rather minor. The litigation addresses major issues. He felt that it is a good idea to have a briefing on the Open Meeting Law requirements but opposed having the requirement in the Charter. Committee members are volunteers whose talents are important. They may not elect to serve if such a requirement is imposed. They do need to have an orientation period. Mr. Berkich recently had a two-hour meeting for City employees which had been most interesting. A resolution could require attendance at the orientation and it should address conflict of interests as well as the Open Meeting Law. He also felt that Carson City does an excellent job in this area as the boards and committees have a desire to obey the Open Meeting Law. His office and the District Attorney's office were willing to help facilitate the training.

Supervisor Livermore moved to approve a resolution from the Charter Review Committee recommending to the Board of Supervisors the development of a resolution to require that all elected officials of Carson City participate in orientation regarding the requirements of the Nevada Open Meeting Law, the Nevada Ethics in Government Law, and other legal or ethical considerations, to be known as Resolution No. 2002-R-49. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Clarification indicated that the training is only required once and that it does not have to be done prior to taking office. Following discussion on the term "require", Supervisor Livermore withdrew his original motion. Supervisor Williamson concurred. Supervisor Livermore then moved to direct the District Attorney's office to develop a resolution to request that all elected officials in Carson City participate in an orientation on the Open Meeting Law, the Nevada Ethics in Government Law, and other legal or ethical considerations. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

Supervisor Staub moved to approve a resolution requesting the Carson City District Attorney to draft a resolution recommending that all individuals appointed to an administrative, advisory, executive, or legislative body of Carson City which expends or disburses or is supported in whole or in part by tax revenue or which advises or makes recommendations to any entity which expends or disburses or is supported in whole or in part by tax revenue participate in an orientation regarding the requirements of the Nevada Open Meeting Law, the Nevada Ethics in Government Law, and any other legal or ethical considerations. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Clarification indicated that the participation did not have to occur prior to taking any action on behalf of that body and that the motion is to direct the District Attorney's office to prepare a resolution rather than approving the resolution. Both Supervisors Staub and Plank agreed to this revision. The motion as amended was voted and carried 5-0.

F. ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION BY THE CARSON CITY CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE RECOMMENDING TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO RECOMMEND TO THE NEVADA STATE LEGISLATURE THAT THE CARSON CITY CHARTER BE AMENDED TO INCLUDE A NEW SECTION 1.110 REQUIRING A JOINT MEETING WITH THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOLLOWING THE FINAL CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING PRIOR TO THE BEGINNING OF THE REGULAR SESSION OF THE NEVADA STATE LEGISLATURE FOR PRESENTATION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS BY THE CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (3-0808) - Discussion ensued concerning whether this should be a policy or resolution or part of the Charter. Mayor Masayko suggested that the Item H be considered first and then consideration of this issue occur.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 23

H. ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION BY THE CARSON CITY CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE RECOMMENDING TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO RECOMMEND TO THE NEVADA STATE LEGISLATURE THAT THE CARSON CITY CHARTER SECTION 1.090(1) BE AMENDED TO ADD ELECTION OF A VICE CHAIRMAN TO THE DUTIES OF THE CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE AND TO CHANGE THE TERM WHICH THE CHAIRMAN AND VICE CHAIRMAN SERVE FROM ONE YEAR TO TWO YEARS (3-0860) - The Committee has always elected a Vice Chairman. This proposal formalizes the process. The proposal will also allow additional meetings during the two-year period. It was felt that the term of office currently expires when the items are considered by the Board. Chairperson Aldean read the Charter indicating that the term is for two years. Mr. Woodbury opined that the Committee is a standing committee that must meet at least once every two years and that it has a Chairperson. Mayor Masayko felt that a charter amendment was warranted. Supervisor Livermore moved to adopt a resolution by the Charter Review Committee recommending to the Board of Supervisors to recommend to the Nevada State Legislature that the Carson City Charter Section 1.090(1) be amended to add election of a vice chairman to the duties of the charter review committee and to change the term which the chairman and vice chairman serve from one year to two years, to be known as Resolution No. 2002-R-48. Supervisors Williamson and Staub seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

F. ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION BY THE CARSON CITY CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE RECOMMENDING TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO RECOMMEND TO THE NEVADA STATE LEGISLATURE THAT THE CARSON CITY CHARTER BE AMENDED TO INCLUDE A NEW SECTION 1.110 REQUIRING A JOINT MEETING WITH THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOLLOWING THE FINAL CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING PRIOR TO THE BEGINNING OF THE REGULAR SESSION OF THE NEVADA STATE LEGISLATURE FOR PRESENTATION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS BY THE CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS - CONTINUED (3-1076) - Supervisor Livermore moved to approve a Resolution, to be known as 2002-R-49, by the Carson City Charter Review Committee recommending to the Board of Supervisors to recommend to the Nevada State Legislature that the Carson City Charter Section 1.110 be amended to include a new Section 1.110 recommending a joint meeting with the Board of Supervisors following the final Charter Review Committee meeting prior to the beginning of the regular session of the Nevada State Legislature for presentation of proposals by the Charter Review Committee to the Board of Supervisors. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

G. ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION BY THE CARSON CITY CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE RECOMMENDING TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO RECOMMEND TO THE NEVADA STATE LEGISLATURE THAT THE CARSON CITY CHARTER SECTION 1.090(3) BE AMENDED TO SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZE THE CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE TO RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AMENDMENTS TO THE CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE AND TO RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS RECOMMEND TO THE NEVADA STATE LEGISLATURE AMENDMENTS TO THE NEVADA REVISED STATUTES WHEN SUCH AMENDMENTS TO THE MUNICIPAL CODE OR NEVADA REVISED STATES RELATE TO AMENDMENTS OF THE CARSON CITY CHARTER (1-1115) - Committee discussion explained its desire to submit amendments to the Code and Statutes as well as the Charter.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Meeting

Page 24

Board comments explained the need for a committee to focus on the Charter which was established in 1969. The Board also felt that the Committee or an individual already had the ability to change the Code and Statutes by approaching either the Board or a legislative member. No formal action was taken on this item.

Board comments complimented the Committee on its dedication and efforts. Mayor Masayko also indicated that unless another meeting is called, the Committee's work was completed. He also noted that two of the members will lose their seats due to appropriation. He thanked all of the Members for their service. Supervisor Plank remarked that it had been an interesting debate with honest dialogue. It had been an enjoyable and stimulating meeting. Chairperson Aldean thanked the Board for taking the time to discuss the issues. She also noted that the Committee would not be idle as they need to develop some bylaw for future meetings. Mayor Masayko suggested that they be kept informal and not be made a part of the Code.

Member Vettel moved to adjourn. Member Pickett seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously. Chairperson Aldean adjourned the Charter Review Committee.

Supervisor Livermore moved to adjourn. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously. Mayor Masayko adjourned the Board of Supervisors at 9:20 p.m.

The Minutes of the August 1, 2002, Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting

ARE SO APPROVED ON December 19, 2002.

/s/
Ray Masayko, Mayor

ATTEST:

/s/
Alan Glover, Clerk-Recorder