

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the August 17, 2000, Meeting
Page 1

A regularly scheduled meeting of the Carson City Board of Supervisors was held on Thursday, August 17, 2000, at the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada, beginning at 8:30 a.m.

PRESENT:	Ray Masayko Kay Bennett Robin Williamson Jon Plank Pete Livermore	Mayor Supervisor, Ward 4 Supervisor, Ward 1 Supervisor, Ward 2 Supervisor, Ward 3
STAFF PRESENT:	John Berkich Alan Glover Sally Edwards Sheila Banister Steve Kastens Judie Fisher Mark Forsberg Cheryl Adams John Flansberg Melanie Bruketta Kelvin Ikehara John Simms Raymont Saylo Katherine McLaughlin Beth Huck Justine Chambers (B.O.S. 8/17/00 Tape 1-0001)	City Manager Clerk-Recorder Library Director Chief Juvenile Probation Officer Parks and Recreation Director Personnel Manager Chief Deputy District Attorney Deputy Purchasing Director Streets Operations Manager Deputy District Attorney Sewer Operations Chief Service Program Coordinator Lieutenant Recording Secretary Business License Compliance Officer Senior Purchasing Tech.

NOTE: Unless otherwise indicated, each item was introduced by staff's reading/outlining/clarifying the Board Action Request and/or supporting documentation. Staff members present for each Department are listed under that Department's heading. Any other individuals who spoke are listed immediately following the item heading. A tape recording of these proceedings is on file in the Clerk-Recorder's office. This tape is available for review and inspection during normal business hours.

CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - Mayor Masayko convened the meeting at 8:30 a.m. Roll call was taken. The entire Board was present, constituting a quorum. Rev. Larry Rothchild of the Capital Baptist Church gave the Invocation. Mayor Masayko lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

CITIZEN COMMENTS (1-0050) - None.

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES (1-0053) - None.

2. AGENDA MODIFICATIONS (1-0058) - Contract No. 0001-010 to Zalreich Chemical Company was removed from the Consent Agenda and will be heard separately as an appeal had been filed. Community Development's three abandonment requests, AB-00/01-1, AB-00/01-2, and AB-00/01-3, were continued to another unannounced meeting. Supervisor Bennett requested the Library items be heard in the reverse order based on her feeling that the policy issue should be considered before the appointments are made.

3. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS (1-0107) - Personnel Manager Judie Fisher - **ACTION ON A RETIREMENT RESOLUTION COMMENDING BILL LEWIS, CHIEF JUVENILE PROBATION OFFICER** - Mayor Masayko read the Resolution into the record. Supervisor Livermore moved to adopt Resolution No. 2000-R-40, A RESOLUTION COMMENDING BILL LEWIS ON HIS RETIREMENT.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the August 17, 2000, Meeting
Page 2

Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Board comments thanked him for his years of dedicated service and innovative ideas and programs for the youth of the community. This legacy will be difficult for the new Chief Juvenile Probation Officer to follow. Comments also indicated that he would remain active in the community and urged him to continue his lobbying activities. Mr. Berkich felt that the opening of the Silver Springs Regional Juvenile Facility was a highlight of Mr. Lewis' efforts. The motion to adopt Resolution 2000-R-40 was voted and carried 5-0. Mayor Masayko described Mr. Lewis' work to expand the City's juvenile facility. He suggested that the annex be named in Mr. Lewis' honor. Mayor Masayko then presented him with a plaque commemorating his service. Mr. Lewis explained his reasons for contributing to the community. He thanked the many community leaders who had supported his programs. He also explained the evaluation forms which the parents of youths who are participating in the many programs offered through his agency are asked to fill out. These forms always reflect the five star service the agency provides and often names the staff members who have provided the service. With staff's support, he had been able to be successful. He asked the Board, Judges, Mr. Berkich, and the community to continue supporting juvenile justice. He expressed his intent to continue to participate in the programs whenever possible.

LIQUOR AND ENTERTAINMENT BOARD (1-0285) - Mayor Masayko recessed the Board of Supervisors session and immediately convened the Liquor and Entertainment Board. The entire Board was present constituting a quorum, including Sheriff's Representative Saylo.

4. TREASURER - Business License Compliance Officer Beth Huck

A. ACTION ON AN ASSEMBLY PERMIT WITH WAIVER OF THE \$200 PERMIT FEE AND THE \$25 APPLICATION FEE FOR THE NEVADA HISPANIC SERVICES (1-0287) - Discussion explained the reasons for requesting the Board's consideration. The event is scheduled for September 17 in the Pony Express Pavilion. Member Livermore explained that the Convention and Visitors Bureau supports the event and urged the Board to support the waiver requests. Member Livermore moved to approve an Assembly Permit with waiver of the \$20 permit fee and the \$25 application fee for the Nevada Hispanic Services, under CCMC 4.04.075 and 4.28.050; no fiscal impact. Member Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 6-0.

B. ACTION ON A PACKAGED BEER AND WINE LICENSE FOR JAMES J. OLIVAS, DOING BUSINESS AS NICK'S PIZZA (1-0350) - James Olivas assured the that Board he would adhere to the liquor laws and train his employees accordingly. He explained the identification requirements for anyone purchasing a six pack. Member Plank moved to approve a packaged beer and wine license for James J. Olivas, doing business as Nick's Pizza, located at 303 North Carson Street, under CCMC 4.13; fiscal impact is \$500 original new fee, \$500 investigative fee, and \$200 per quarter. Member Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 6-0. Chairperson Masayko welcomed him to the community and wished him a successful business venture.

Mayor Masayko indicated for the record that a favorable Sheriff's Investigative Report was included in the Board's packet.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (1-0406) - There being no other matters for consideration as the Liquor and Entertainment Board, Mayor Masayko adjourned the Board and immediately reconvened the session as the Board of Supervisors. The entire Board was present constituting a quorum.

5. CONSENT AGENDA (1-0410)

A. TREASURER - ACTION ON THE TREASURER'S REPORT FOR JULY 2000

B. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

i. ACTION ON REQUEST FOR CONTRACT APPROVAL FOR THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT PLANNING STUDY FOR THE EFFLUENT REFUSE MASTER PLAN UPDATE ON CONTRACT NO. 2000-019 AND AUTHORIZATION FOR DEVELOPMENT SERVICES TO ISSUE PAYMENTS TO HDR ENGINEERING, INC., 271 TURN PIKE DRIVE, FOLSOM, CALIFORNIA 95630, FOR A NOT TO EXCEED ORIGINAL AGREEMENT COST OF \$73,800 AND

AUTHORIZATION FOR THE CONTRACTS DIVISION TO ISSUE AMENDMENTS FOR A NOT TO EXCEED COST OF \$6,200

ii. ACTION ON REQUEST FOR CONTRACT APPROVAL ON THE REHABILITATION OF THE WUNGNEMA HOUSE PROJECT, CONTRACT NO. 9900-213 TO APPROVE CHANGE ORDERS 1 AND 2 FOR AN INCREASE TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT OF \$27,608.90 AND AUTHORIZE THE PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT TO RETAIN AN CONTINGENCY AMOUNT OF \$9,452.90

iii. ACTION ON AWARD OF THE FIRE STATION III - WEIGHT ROOM ADDITION PROJECT, CONTRACT NO. 2000-015 TO (BIDDER #2) METCALF BUILDERS, INC., 751 BASQUE WAY, CARSON CITY, NV 89706, AS THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE BIDDER PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF NRS CHAPTER 332, 338, 339, AND 624 FOR A CONTRACT AMOUNT OF \$54,786 AND A CONTINGENCY AMOUNT OF \$15,214

iv. ACTION ON THIS "REQUEST FOR FINAL PAYMENT" ON THE ROUNDABOUT AT EDMONDS AVENUE AND FIFTH STREET PROJECT, CONTRACT NO. 9900-222 TO ANCHOR CONCRETE, 1750 MARIETTA WAY, SPARKS, NV 89431-6062, FOR A FINAL PAYMENT AMOUNT OF \$9,647.06 AND ACCEPT THE CONTRACT SUMMARY AS PRESENTED

C. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR

i. ACTION ON AB-00/01-1 - REQUEST FROM JULIUS A. AND JOANNE C. BALLARDINI (PROPERTY OWNERS: C&A INVESTMENTS, LLC) TO ABANDON AN APPROXIMATE 20 FOOT WIDE BY 138 FOOT LONG AND A 10 FOOT WIDE BY 71 FOOT LONG DRAINAGE EASEMENT LOCATED WITHIN THE SILVER OAK DEVELOPMENT, EAST OF IVY BALDWIN CIRCLE, ADJACENT TO APN 7-461-19, 7-461-06, AND 7-461-26, IN CARSON CITY, NEVADA

ii. ACTION ON AB-00/01-2 - A REQUEST FROM JULIUS A. AND JOANNE C. BALLARDINI (PROPERTY OWNERS: C&A INVESTMENT, LLC) TO ABANDON AN APPROXIMATE 187.13 FOOT BY 7.86 FOOT BY 172.26 FOOT TRIANGULAR LANDSCAPE EASEMENT LOCATED NORTH OF WEST COLLEGE PARKWAY WITHIN THE SILVER OAK DEVELOPMENT, ADJACENT TO APN 7-461-06 AND 7-461-26, IN CARSON CITY, NEVADA

iii. ACTION ON AB-00/01-3 - A REQUEST FROM JULIUS A. AND JOANNE C. BALLARDINI (PROPERTY OWNERS: C&A INVESTMENTS, LLC) TO ABANDON AN APPROXIMATE 20 FOOT WIDE BY 438 FOOT LONG L-SHAPED DRAINAGE EASEMENT LOCATED TO THE NORTH OF WEST COLLEGE PARKWAY AND TO THE EAST OF GS RICHARDS BOULEVARD, ADJACENT TO APN 7-461-06, 7-461-08 AND 7-461-26, IN CARSON CITY, NEVADA

D. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

i. ACTION ON THE AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. 0001-010 TO ZALREICH CHEMICAL COMPANY AS THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE BIDDER PURSUANT TO NRS 332 TO PROVIDE Z-BREAK 3550 CSX POLYMER FOR THE CENTRIFUGE OPERATION AT THE WASTEWATER RECLAMATION PLANT ON AN AS NEEDED BASIS THROUGH AUGUST 16, 2002

ii. ACTION ON CONTRACT NO. 9798-040 AMENDMENT NO. 3 FOR DIAMOND PEAK AT SKI INCLINE, 1210 SKI WAY, INCLINE VILLAGE, NV 89451, TO BE THE SITE FOR THE JUNIOR SKI PROGRAM AND AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SIGN THE AGREEMENT - The Community Development items were removed due to technical problems and will be heard at a future meeting. Items D. i. and B. iv. were pulled for discussion. Supervisor Bennett moved to approve the five remaining items on the Consent Agenda. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

B. iv. (1-0438) - Street Operations Manager John Flansberg provided an oral status report on the Regional Transportation Commission's public hearing on the roundabout. The contract was completed on time and under budget by \$23,000. As a result of this meeting staff had reduced the speed from 45 to 35 for the Edmonds north bound traffic at the top of the hill and through the roundabout. The Fifth Street east bound traffic is still 40 miles

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the August 17, 2000, Meeting
Page 4

per hour. Staff has a meeting scheduled with NDOT regarding changing this speed limit to match the others. The asphalt contractor will remove and repave the Edmonds down hill slope due to the excessive amount of oil used in the asphalt. This will occur toward the end of August and during the night to eliminate obstructing daytime traffic. Flashing yellow lights are being installed on Fifth Street. The City's signage meets the new national signage standard. Additional striping could be added for clarity, if necessary. Staff will continue analyzing this need. Rumble strips will be considered and added if needed in the future. Supervisor Livermore explained the feeling that the white stripes had faded and asked him to consider restriping, particularly of the pedestrian cross walks. He also indicated his intent to work with the Sheriff's Department on enforcement of the speed limits. He suggested that lighting and landscaping be added to it with the unused funds. Mr. Flansberg clarified the asphalt replacement as having been part of a 1994 overlay contract. He then explained that free rights are not included in the typical roundabout design. The previous design had allowed free rights for east bound Fifth Street onto south bound Edmonds and west bound Fifth onto north bound Edmonds. These turning movements were included in the new design. The other two legs do not have these movements due to the lack of right-of-way and the topography. If a problem arises in the future, they can be added. Supervisor Plank complimented staff on their dedication and efforts to make the roundabout work. It is fairly attractive, which had increased the costs. His personal use of the roundabout indicated that a majority of the users feel comfortable with it. The design does not allow a driver to exceed the 15 miles per hour speed limit. Mayor Masayko congratulated staff on being able to bring the project in under budget. Supervisor Livermore moved that the Board of Supervisors approve the request for a final payment on the roundabout at Edmonds Avenue and Fifth Street project, Contract No. 9900-222 as submitted by Development Services to Anchor Concrete, 1750 Marietta Way, Sparks, NV 89431-6062, for a final payment amount of \$9,647.06 and accept the Contract Summary as presented. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Supervisor Plank complimented Anchor Concrete on their work. Motion carried 5-0.

D. i. (1-0635) - Deputy Purchasing Director Cheryl Adams had given the Board a memo regarding the protest. (A copy is in the file.) Staff felt that Cytec had failed to meet the bid specs. The items which they had failed to meet were described.

(1-0667) Cytec Industries Representatives Don Pickard and Rawlin Castro responded by explaining their position. They had overlooked the requirement to provide the polymer during the testing. Mr. Castro had purportedly received verbal approval from Plant Operator Jim Padilla indicating that City polymer could be used during the test and replaced afterwards. They agreed that they had had a copy of the bid documents and should have understood the process as spelled out in it. Their product allegedly met all of the other bid requirements. Mayor Masayko noted that if the City rejects their bid due to this technical issue, it would, in fact, be penalizing itself as the Alreich polymer is ten cents a unit higher. It is necessary, however, to follow the bid requirements.

Sewer Operations Chief Kelvin Ikehara explained his contact with Mr. Padilla indicated that the agreement was made on the date when testing was to be done. Cytec had failed to coordinate with Mr. Ikehara as required in the bid documents. The cost difference in the bids is 88 cents per eight hour day. Staff's evaluation of the test indicated that Zalreich outperformed Cytec in all dosage rates. Zalreich is easier to use. It eliminates the need to dedicate one employee to watching the centrifuge system rather than run the system on automatic as desired. Supervisor Bennett encouraged him to discuss with staff the possibility that a potential bidder may have been misled as indicated by Cytec representatives. Both Mr. Ikehara and Ms. Adams indicated that the bid documents had included a statement regarding modifications to the contract which could only be approved by Mr. Ikehara. Supervisor Plank referred to Ms. Adams' memo regarding her position that Cytec had failed to comply with the bid document by not providing an adequate supply of polymer to accommodate the necessary performance test and data acquisition prior to the test. Additional comments were solicited but none provided. Supervisor Bennett moved to approve the award of Contract No. 0007-010 to Zalreich Chemical Company as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder pursuant to NRS 332 to provide Z-Break 3550 CSX polymer for the centrifuge operation at the Wastewater Reclamation Plant on an as needed basis through August 16, 2002; fiscal impact is \$112,800, and the funding source is the Wastewater Plant Chemicals 510-3201-0637. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

6. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (1-0898)

A. ACTION ON LEGISLATIVE PACKAGE FOR 2001 - Following City Manager Berkich's introduction, Mayor Masayko expressed his desire to have this item agendaized for all future Board meetings to allow consideration on any bill or issue which arises until after the Legislature adjourns. Mary Walker reviewed her letter regarding the bill drafts, their purpose and status. Discussion explained the design built process and how it differs from the current process particularly in the initial design phase, the number of change orders, and the budget cap. Comments also noted the lack of support by the northern division of Associated General Contractors (A.G.S.) while the southern division supports the process. Ms. Walker felt that a firm position had not yet been made by the northern division and that some contractors may support the bill. Their concerns relate to the perceived advantage which may be provided to the large and middle contractors who have had experience with this type of construction which the small contractors do not have. Supervisor Plank suggested the price of the projects be set at \$1 million and above as this allows more of the rural counties to take advantage of the program. Mayor Masayko felt that there would be more objections at this level. A.G.S. had allegedly supported restricting the number of projects rather than establishing a cap for the project. This was felt to be more equitable for all of the Counties. Supervisor Williamson moved that Carson City support the concept of design built with the actual specifications being brought back to the Board for review for the 2001 legislature. Her rationale for supporting the concept was based on the belief that the current process is not beneficial to the taxpayers. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

Discussion ensued on the revisions to SB 323, the Mobile Home Bill. The proposal will tax mobile homes at the same rate as stick built homes. Supervisor Bennett suggested the term "stick built" be replaced with the term "site built". Supervisor Williamson moved that the Board support the amendments to assure that mobile homes will be taxed as real property under SB 323. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Mayor Masayko indicated that the legislature can only act on the bill if it is introduced. The motion was voted and carried 5-0.

(1-1338) The bill proposal on advertisement of paid claims had been eliminated. NACO may propose this bill as part of its packet.

Ms. Walker explained the reasons for revising the Business Economic Impact Statement requirements. Chamber of Commerce Executive Vice President Larry Osborne explained its original purpose and supported some of the revisions. He offered to work with Ms. Walker and NACO to develop the revisions. Senator Goldwater will be asked to sponsor the bill. Clarification indicated that the Board would reconsider the final bill developed from the meeting with Mr. Osborne and NACO. Supervisor Bennett moved that the Board of Supervisors approve in concept the changes that are proposed for AB 486 that will be sponsored by the NACO Local Government Finance Committee. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

Ms. Walker then explained the NACO sponsored bill to establish the county commission chairperson and vice chairpersons' terms. The City's Charter addresses this issue. Supervisor Williamson moved to approve the County flexibility in terms for the Chairperson's term. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

Supervisor Bennett recused herself from the discussion on the airport leases as she would be impacted by the bill. Ms. Walker indicated the bill would extend the term of the leases to 99 years. The current law restricts them to 50 years. Reasons for the revision were provided. Mayor Masayko indicated that he would have the matter agendaized for the next Airport Authority meeting. Supervisor Williamson moved to support the extension of the leases at the airport to 99 years pending review and concurrence by the Airport Authority. Supervisors Plank and Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0-1 with Supervisor Bennett abstaining.

Ms. Walker described the transit funding alternatives--additional sales, gas, and ad valorem taxes. The proposal is to broaden the tax imposed for regional juvenile facilities to include storm drainage, landfills, transit, etc., and place it in under the proper section of the NRS. The concept also restricts the use of funding for transit to counties with populations of less than 100,000. She also explained that Federal funding for regional transit is only available

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the August 17, 2000, Meeting
Page 6

for two years and that the local government(s) then become responsible for the costs. A constitutional amendment will be required to impose a tax on motor vehicles for transit. Supervisor Bennett encouraged her to follow through with this concept. Supervisor Livermore suggested that the concept be placed before the electorate for ratification of the increase in ad valorem taxes. Supervisor Williamson felt that other funding mechanisms were available for transit and that the regional juvenile center will need this funding. She also questioned whether the five cent ad valorem rate would be adequate for transit. Discussion explained that the funding which the City would receive as an MPO would be available for two or three years. Then the City will have to foot all costs. Mayor Masayko suggested the sales tax concept be "packaged" with other issues, e.g., infrastructure needs, school improvements, etc., including their operational costs. His discussion with Ms. Walker indicated that the enabling legislation should be developed in order to obtain operational funding. The enabling bill may need to include ratification by the electorate. Ms. Walker agreed to look at this sales tax option. Due to the September 1st deadline for submittal of the bill, Ms. Walker suggested that a legislator be approached to sponsor the concept. Board comments expressed a willingness to have a special meeting on the concept, if necessary. Mayor Masayko also asked that the BDR be reserved and the concept reconsidered. Mayor Masayko volunteered to work with her on the language. **Supervisor Williamson moved to direct Ms. Walker to reserve a BDR for us in terms of pursuing funding transit and storm water operations as well as capital improvements through an increase in sales tax.** Discussion noted that there is currently two-eighth cent sales tax funding options available for capital items. **Supervisor Williamson amended her motion to include to the two 1/8th cent increment sales tax which is available or any others. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion.** Comments were solicited.

Tom Keeton asked the Board to reconsider the gasoline taxes. He did not feel that an added tax to it would cost that much. Transit is transit regardless of the mechanism used for it. He urged the Board to consider it as well as the sales tax concept as it is more palatable.

Mayor Masayko was unsure whether the gas tax would be more palatable than the sales tax. The gas taxes are currently 110 percent committed at this time and was unsure whether they should be increased at this time. Discussion between Ms. Walker and Mayor Masayko indicated that the current gas tax could not fund all of the current street maintenance needs. Suggestions indicated that the quarter cent sales tax for maintenance be considered and increased. Some of these funds are now used for regional storm drainage. The quarter cent sales tax had been voter approved and would have to be reconsidered by the electorate if used for operations. Supervisor Bennett urged Ms. Walker to analyze all options including State transit funding. On-going funding for transit operations is needed and will not go away. Concerns were expressed that this may be more difficult to sell. Supervisor Plank pointed out the gas price fluctuations which had been occurring and questioned the impact a tax increase could have on it. Ms. Walker offered to work with Mayor Masayko and Supervisor Bennett on a bill draft. The final concept will be brought back to the Board for consideration. **The motion to reserve a bill draft resolution for transit and to work on its concept was voted and carried 5-0.**

Ms Walker then explained the Certificate of Need program which may be sponsored by Governor Guinn. Each County will have the option to decide whether to takeover the services or continue having the State provide the services. Supervisor Livermore explained the Hospital's support for the program. Supervisor Livermore moved that the Carson City Board of Supervisors support the necessary legislative changes for the Certificate of Use Program as presented. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

Ms. Walker then explained the proposal to expand the use of interlocal agreements to include regional efforts. The concept would establish a regional health care planning organization. This organization could also oversee the Certificate of Need program, mental health programs, and state block grants. Supervisor Livermore pointed out that the City is the regional supplier for health services, including mental health agencies, without support from the surrounding Counties. The concept may maximize these services and eliminate some of the duplication which currently exists. **Supervisor Livermore moved that the Carson City Board of Supervisors support the legislative issues expanding the use of interlocal agreements to include regional efforts as discussed. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. j Following a request for an amendment, Supervisor Livermore amended the motion to include to allow Ms. Walker to work with Assemblywoman Bonnie**

Parnell to do a specific request for regional health care organization. Supervisor Williamson concurred. Comments also indicated that the Board was not sponsoring the bill. Ms. Walker indicated that Assemblywoman Parnell had agreed to sponsor the bill at the City's request. Other counties also supported the concept. **The motion as amended was voted and carried 5-0.**

Ms. Walker then reported on the two bills sponsored by the Hospital. A copy of the bill draft which would allow physicians to be on the Hospital Board of Trustees was distributed to the Board and Clerk. (A copy is in the file.) It was felt that this would provide better communication and support from the local physicians. Supervisor Livermore pointed out that the six remaining Trustees are elected representatives. The physician would be the only representative not selected by the electorate. As the Trustees had supported the bill and as the Board's representative to the Board of Trustees, he would also support it. The Board of Supervisors are to interview and select the physician. The term is for two years. The beginning date for the term would be established by the Board of Supervisors. Mayor Masayko voiced his opposition to having a non-elected person appointed to the Board of Trustees. He preferred expanding the Board to seven members if there is a problem with the number six. A physician could stand for election the same as the other Trustees. A physician is now serving on the Board and had been elected to the position. Mayor Masayko indicated he would support the concept if the Board of Supervisors approve it. **Supervisor Livermore moved that the Board of Supervisors endorse Carson-Tahoe Hospital's proposal for enhancement to the Board of Hospital Trustees by a physician representative addition on the Board with enabling legislation that allows Carson City to so chose that. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion.** Supervisor Plank noted that the legislation would provide the Board with the flexibility to appoint a physician if the Board desires to do so. It does not require the appointment. He still felt that it should be an elected position. Supervisor Livermore pointed out that other hospital boards have physicians on their boards and that a majority of the trustees are physicians. Reasons for this decision were based on the fact that they provide the services and create a cohesive, working relationship between the physicians providing the service and the government for the hospital. He agreed that the physicians knew they had the opportunity to run for election. They are not politicians. The concept would authorize them to participate in the process without being a politician. Supervisor Williamson agreed that physicians are hard-working community supporters. She did not support the concept based on the timing and the fact that the hospital is facing affiliation, which could make the proposal moot. Also, the Chief of Staff attends the Board meetings. He/She does not have a vote but does contribute to the discussion. If the physicians are allowed, why not nurses, etc., who are also hard-working contributors to the hospital. Supervisor Bennett explained her advocacy for the concept which had been discussed over and over by the Hospital Board. Physicians are part of the community and very, very busy. The concept recognizes that the physicians are integral partners of the hospital's operation and have a strong vested interest in it. She felt that it should be proposed and see what happens. She was comfortable with the enabling legislation proposal and the Board could determine the time when it will be implemented. Ms. Walker noted that the Board of Supervisors would make the implementation determination not the Hospital Board of Trustees. **The motion was then voted and failed on a 2-3 vote with Supervisors Williamson and Plank and Mayor Masayko voting Naye.**

Mayor Masayko then suggested that the Board take a neutral position on the proposal. Supervisor Livermore explained that the Hospital Board of Trustees would proceed with the legislation even though it had been on a 4-2 vote. He supported the Trustees' recommendation as a member of its Board based on that vote. He supported the Mayor's recommendation to take no position. Supervisor Williamson moved that the Carson City Board of Supervisors take no position on this issue. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

Ms. Walker indicated that the final Hospital proposal was to address the Trustees' salary issue. The current "salary" is \$50 per member per Board meeting attended with a limit of two meetings per month. The Chairperson and Secretary receive \$60 per meeting. The BDR will be brought back to the Board later. Salaries examples in other areas were noted. Mayor Masayko indicated that he had asked NACO to add this to its elected officials' salary bill. He also suggested consideration be given to removing it from the Statutes and placing it under the Board's control. Supervisor Livermore pointed out the dedication and time required for the position. Although some of the Trustees may be willing to do it as a volunteer, the salary should be reasonable and comparable with similar positions. Records indicate that the salary had never been raised. Discussion indicated the bill draft could

be sponsored by a legislator or added to the NACO bill.

Mayor Masayko then explained the revised NACO bill on elected officials' salaries including the provision which allows Counties who are "broke" and unable to grant employees' an increase to maintain their salaries and eliminated the ten percent differential pay for combined offices, e.g., the Clerk-Recorder. A resolution of support will be submitted to the Board for consideration in the future. He also indicated that this item would be carried on future agendas.

B. ACTION ON A REQUEST BY MIKE MITCHELL ON BEHALF OF THE DALE AND RUTH WADE BENEFIT TO WAIVE RENTAL FEES AT FUJI PARK (1-2606) - Parks and Recreation Director Steve Kastens, Kevin Kearns - The fee waiver was for the \$100 park use fee, \$100 refundable cleaning deposit, and a \$6 administrative fee. The Board could not waive the Liquor License fees. The Liquor License fee was \$20. Mr. Kearns indicated he understood that the Board could not waive the Liquor fees. He then explained the purpose of the benefit and the activities which will be held on September 16 from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. Supervisor Plank moved that the \$100 park use fees, the \$100 clean-up fee, and the \$6 administrative fee for event proposed by Mike Mitchell at Fuji Park on Saturday, September 16, between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. be waived. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Mayor Masayko indicated the fees being waived totalled \$206. The motion was voted and carried 5-0.

C. ACTION ON A REQUEST BY NEVADA HISPANIC SERVICES TO WAIVE RENTAL FEES AT THE PONY EXPRESS PAVILION (1-2711) - Parks and Recreation Director Steve Kastens, Nevada Hispanic Services Executive Director Raquel Knecht - Mr. Kastens explained that the vendor booth fee and the non-profit vendors fee could not be waived. The Convention and Visitors Bureau sponsors a portion of the event. Ms. Knecht indicated she understood that some of the fees could and some could not be waived. The festival is on September 17 from 10 a.m. to 8 p.m. Supervisor Livermore moved that the Board of Supervisors waive the fees of \$228 for the refundable cleaning deposit and the administrative fees for the Hispanic Services Salsa Y Salsa Event on September 17. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

BREAK: A recess was declared at 10:55 a.m. The entire Board was present when Mayor Masayko reconvened the meeting at 11:10 a.m., constituting a quorum.

7. CARSON CITY LIBRARY BOARD OF TRUSTEES - Supervisor Bennett then explained her reasons for delaying the appointments and wishing to discuss the policy. She apologized for the delay in considering the matters which arose in June. Mayor Masayko suggested that the appointments be made as recommended policy which had been submitted by the Trustees. Changes could be made to it for future appointments. Supervisor Williamson noted the vacancies which arose in April and referenced an opinion from Deputy District Attorney Bruketta. Based on this opinion, the Trustees had developed the policy and advertised the vacancies. She recommended the policy be considered before the appointments are made. Supervisor Livermore explained for the record a telephone conversation he had had with the Library Director in which she had indicated that the Board of Supervisors would be making a political appointment to the Library Board which is not in the best interest of the Library. She purported that only the Trustees truly understand the stewardship of the library, specifically, when dealing with the First Amendment rights and censorship. He objected to the Director and Trustees' view of the Board of Supervisors as being unable to make a good decision in the selection of appointees. The Board is responsible for the appointments to approximately 40 other committees and commissions. He had never had this contention raised before. Supervisor Plank disclosed his conversation with the Director which indicated that the proposed process had been followed. **Supervisor Bennett then moved that the Board of Supervisors consider the action to approve the process for the appointments to the Library Board before we consider action to appoint two applicants to the Library Board as recommended by the Library Board. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion.** Supervisor Livermore indicated that he was not sure how he would vote on the appointments. He does not use the Library or function as a Library Trustee. He may not have been involved with it during his residency. He felt, however, that it is the Board's constitutional duty to oversee the functions of City Departments including the Library. He supported hearing the discussion on the process before a selection is made

in order to protect the interest of the residents of the community. Mayor Masayko reminded the Board that the ultimate appointment to the Board of Trustees is the Board of Supervisors. He had agreed to the approach which had been suggested--the development of a formal approach by the Trustees which is being brought forward for consideration by the Supervisors. He had not been aware of Supervisor Bennett's concern, otherwise he would have agendized the matter for discussion before the Trustees had advertised the vacancy and conducted their interviews.

(1-3135) John Ray indicated that he was speaking as a taxpayer and not as a judge or former Trustee. He questioned why this board should be handled different from the others. The positions had been advertised. The interviews had been conducted. The names had been presented like all of the other agencies do. Mayor Masayko indicated that this discussion would occur following the vote. Mr. Ray stressed that the Trustees do have autonomy and that the Board's interference should be minimal and only in a positive manner.

Supervisor Plank expressed the hope that, if the motion passes, it does not interfere with the appointments as their selection had been followed according to the process as established. Mayor Masayko indicated that this issue will be considered during the discussion. Supervisor Williamson and Mayor Masayko indicated their concurrence with Supervisor Plank's concern. **The motion to consider the process before making the appointments was voted and carried 3-2 with Supervisor Plank and Mayor Masayko voting Naye.**

B. ACTION TO APPROVE THE PROCESS FOR APPOINTMENTS TO THE LIBRARY BOARD OF TRUSTEES (1-3192) - Library Director Sally Edwards, Library Board of Trustees Chair Georgette Maddox, Deputy District Attorney Melanie Bruketta, City Manager John Berkich, Personnel Manager Judie Fisher, Flo Collins, Pat Glick - Mayor Masayko indicated that Mr. Ray's comments were to be considered as part of this item.

Ms. Edwards' introduced Chair Maddox. She then clarified her comments to Supervisor Livermore as being based on events occurring in other communities where the sensitivity and public trust involved with being a Library Trustee, which is different from other boards and commissions, related to the issues of intellectual freedom and special interest groups wishing to censor the library appointments indicate the need to made appointments carefully to avoid restricted information for the public. As indicated in a Reno newspaper article, the library is the most democratic of all applications in the Country. Everyone can and should be able to access it and obtain information on any and all topics. Individuals who do not use the library or lack an understanding of issues related to libraries regarding intellectual freedoms, the library bill of rights, etc., can be dangerous. She did not state that she felt that the Board would do this. It is her fear, however, as it happens all the time. Discussion between Ms. Edwards and Supervisor Bennett indicated that there are special laws pertaining to the First Amendment and free speech which Library Trustees need to know and understand. Therefore, the selection of Library Trustees should be done cautiously. Supervisor Bennett questioned the reasons an individual such as herself could not become educated regarding these laws. Planning Commission appointees must undertake the same learning curve regarding land use issues. The same is true for Parks and Recreation Commission appointees. Ms. Edwards indicated that her 25 year experience in the Library indicated that individuals who are Trustees have a better understanding of their duties and the political pressures related thereto. She acknowledged that others could learn these duties. These duties are under NRS 379. For this reason appointments to the Library Board had always been handled in the same fashion during the 35 year life of the Carson City library. She questioned the reasons the appointment process had never been questioned before this time.

Supervisor Bennett then explained her contact with the former Trustee Chair and her purported reasons for resigning. Supervisor Bennett's concerns about the process included how the Library Board meetings were conducted, the development process for the agendas, the lack of an open process, and the selection process for appointment to the Board of Trustees. For those reasons Supervisor Bennett had asked Deputy District Attorney Bruketta to attend the March 28th Board meeting with her. Ms. Bruketta had issued a letter dated March 28th regarding the agenda publication requirements. Supervisor Bennett complimented the Library on its revised agenda publication process. She then explained her concerns with Ms. Edwards' hand selection process used to

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the August 17, 2000, Meeting
Page 10

select applicants to the Board of Trustees. She felt that the intent was well-intended and that significant contributions are being made to the City, however, was specifically concerned about the process as the Board of Trustees duties include the hiring and evaluation of the Library Director. Ms. Edwards indicated that she does not have a vote. Supervisor Bennett indicated that this is a conflict of interest. Supervisor Bennett had wanted to bring this matter forward in March, however, Mayor Masayko had prevailed upon her to allow the Library Board an opportunity for correct the situation. This occurred at the end of June. Another concern raised by the former Chair was an extended absence of one of the Trustees which Ms. Bruketta had addressed in her April 24th memo. (A copy of this memo is included in the file.) The response to the former Chair's notification to the Board of Supervisors regarding this concern was to make her the blunt of a lot of criticism from the other Trustees. Ms. Bruketta had also submitted a resolution to the Board which would have added the Board of Trustees to the list of boards, committees, and commissions to which the Board is responsible for making the appointments. She asked the Board to consider this resolution for adoption at either this meeting or a future meeting. She then explained her intent to support any citizen who points out violations to the Open Meeting Law and the appointment process. She also objected to the manner in which the former Chair had been treated for attempting to bring these issues forward. She was particularly concerned about having a "democratic" library board which does not allow the members to be "democratic". This creates the appearance that, if a disagreement arises between a Trustee and the Library Director, the Library Director will proceed to have the individual removed by some process. Supervisor Bennett then agreed that she had approved Ms. Edwards' recommendations for years and years. She felt that she now is better informed about the responsibilities of a Trustee than she had been in the past. Its budget of \$1.3 million is not a small amount of taxpayer's money. The Trustees are responsible for it on behalf of the community. There are quarterly reporting requirements for budgeting which she had never seen. Her question concerning evaluations of the Library Director had been answered that there were no evaluations of the Director. These were her reasons for feeling that the Board should be responsible for the appointments to the Library Board of Trustees.

(2-0116) Chair Maddox took exception to the comments. Ms. Edwards had been evaluated by the Chair of the Board. She had seen it in Ms. Edwards' office. Purportedly the former Chair had responded to an incident at the Library on behalf of the entire Board without bringing the issue to the Board first. This incident was the cause for the problems. Chair Maddox had been out of town during April and May. The Trustees had worked on the problems which the former Chair had pointed out. The agendas and the appointment process have been revised. She felt that people could be educated regarding the duties of a Trustee. One of the qualities considered for being a Board member is to be a library user with adequate time available for the commitment. The individual should also be willing to participate in fundraisers, bond issues, etc. During the interview process, Ms. Edwards had attended the meeting but had not provided any input into the selection. Chair Maddox explained her selection to the Board. The process now requires advertising vacancies. Supervisor Bennett explained her contact with two former Trustees who indicated that Ms. Edwards was their good friend and that she had approached them about the positions. Chair Maddox indicated she had not known Ms. Edwards prior to the appointment. Supervisor Bennett felt that this point had been raised due to her concern regarding a conflict of interest. Chair Maddox responded that another Trustee had been recommended by the former Chair and not by Ms. Edwards.

Ms. Edwards added for the record that the former Library Director had always advertised vacancies on the Board of Trustees within the Library. She then purported that the issue with the Open Meeting Law and the agendas was due to the use of the 1998 handbook published by the State Library. After Ms. Bruketta had made the suggested revisions, they have now become knowledgeable about the Open Meeting Law and are following her recommendations. Mayor Masayko agreed that Carson City is very strict on the Open Meeting Law and the posting of agendas.

Ms. Edwards then explained that she must work for five individuals and, as such, lacks job security. She had always been asked if she knew individuals who would like to be a Trustee whenever vacancies arise. She had never told anyone they would be a Trustee just because she knew him/her. She does not have a vote in the selection process. The Trustees have the interim power to select a nominee and the Board holds the ultimate appointment power.

Supervisor Livermore noted his involvement with numerous boards and commissions. He felt that the board

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the August 17, 2000, Meeting
Page 11

members themselves personally recruit individuals to serve on those boards. This process encourages individuals to volunteer. He took exception to the need for the Trustee applicants to be such unique characters that it eliminates the general population from applying. His review of the Library By-Laws does not reflect this requirement. The Trustees and Director's duties were read into the record. He felt that his reading of the By-Laws indicates that the Director is the individual responsible for the material provided within the Library and that the Trustee duties do not require the intellect indicated by Ms. Edwards.

Ms. Edwards responded that she had not said that the Trustees had to be extra smart. People from different walks of life have served on the Library Board in the past. She then described the incident which had occurred with the former Chair which she felt illustrated the former Chair's misunderstanding of the need for the Board's broad policies on programs and materials. The Trustees must understand the public trust placed in them regarding the issue of censorship. Supervisor Livermore felt that when the applicant signs the application form he/she indicates that he/she is responsible to perform in that fashion. He then explained his concerns with the qualification requirements for applicants and confusion with the direction the Trustees appear to be taking in making their appointments. He acknowledged his personal knowledge of the current Trustees and his high respect for them. Ms. Edwards indicated that anyone could apply and that there had been six applicants the last time. She had not generated the list of questions for the Trustees to ask the applicants.

Supervisor Williamson noted her personal use of the facility. She felt that there were a lot of things being done at the Library which are right. She questioned Ms. Bruketta regarding how she felt the Trustee appointment process should be handled. Ms. Bruketta responded that this is a policy decision which the Board should make. Discussion with Ms. Fisher indicated that Ms. Fisher would have to research whether any other board/commission evaluates the Director of a Department. Supervisor Williamson requested consistency in the policy.

Mayor Masayko requested direction from the Board and noted the alternatives which would stop the process and start over or accept the recommendation submitted today with future revisions. Supervisor Williamson expressed her appreciation for the efforts undertaken by the Trustees and willingness to validate their selections. She was also willing to change the process in the future. Supervisor Bennett and Mayor Masayko supported her comments.

Supervisor Bennett then moved to direct the District Attorney to prepare a resolution amending Resolution 1999-R-18 and to return to the next Board of Supervisors to seek adoption of that resolution and that the resolution should include the Board of Library Trustees in the list of boards and commissions to which the Board of Supervisors appoints directly. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Mayor Masayko indicated that the motion will amend the resolution for interviews in front of the Board of Supervisors for appointment to committees and commissions to include the Library Board of Trustees. Supervisor Plank stated that it should be clear before a vote is taken that there really had been nothing done which was inappropriate although it had been alleged. Regarding the Open Meeting Law and the agendas, the Library Board of Trustees had used the best information available which lead them on a different path than that used by others. The Deputy District Attorney had advised them about this. The Trustees had been attempting to do the correct thing. Regarding the selection process, it had been in place during his tenure on the Board and long before that. He did not believe that anything inappropriate had been done there. He thanked all of the participants for having followed that process until a new one is established. Future direction can be established at another meeting. Mayor Masayko also indicated that if the Board wished to revise its policy, it had the ability to do. He agreed with Supervisor Plank that his statements did not mean that inappropriate actions had been taken nor would a change of policy reflect any inappropriate actions. He felt the revised process makes it more open and public which supports the Carson City method of doing business in the community. The more open a process is the better it is for the community. Supervisor Livermore also indicated that he, personally, did not have any information indicating that there were irregularities in the process. His issue had been regarding who is eligible to serve on the Board. He felt certain that there are vast numbers of individuals in the community who possess some form of intelligence who could participate on the committee. This is his reason for modifying the process.

(2-0459) Ms. Collins, a member of the Friends of the Carson City Library, explained that the group supports the Library. She encouraged the Board to consider during its review of the process that Ms. Edwards must deal with

the patrons, the staff who also encourage a great number of the volunteers to participate at the Library, the Friends of the Library, the Library Foundation, the Board of Trustees, and the Board of Supervisors. She does a good job of making all of these groups mesh. The groups must work together to make the Library successful. Feedback from the community is provided. This includes suggestions as to whom should serve as a Trustee. The Trustees are very conscientious. She did not feel that she could serve as a Trustee without a lot of studying. She urged the Board to be conscientious about its actions. Her personal library experience was noted and urged the Board not to ruin a good thing. Mayor Masayko thanked the Friends of the Library for their support.

(2-0495) Ms. Glick as Chairperson of the Library Foundation elaborated on the former Chair's appointment to the Board of Trustees and the incident. She felt that the individuals selected to serve as a Trustee must not be individuals with an agenda to censor the Library materials and programs. A situation in Florida was cited to illustrate her concern. The Trustees and Ms. Edwards have done an excellent job in her opinion which was based on her 25 year career at the Library. Mayor Masayko thanked her for her comments and the Foundation for its support.

The motion to direct the District Attorney's office to draft a resolution adding the Library Board of Trustees to Resolution 1999-R-18 as one of the list of boards and commissions which the Board of Supervisors appoints to was voted and carried 5-0.

A. ACTION TO APPOINT TWO APPLICANTS RECOMMENDED BY THE LIBRARY BOARD TO FILL ONE FOUR-YEAR TERM AND ONE UNEXPIRED ONE-YEAR TERM ON THE LIBRARY BOARD OF TRUSTEES (1-0550) - Library Board of Trustees Chair Georgette Maddox - Supervisor Williamson thanked the applicants for applying and moved to appoint Barney Dehl and Terry Amundson with Mr. Dehl being appointed to the four year term and Mr. Amundson to the unexpired one year term on the Library Board of Trustees. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Mayor Masayko also thanked the applicants for applying. Supervisor Bennett suggested only one appointment be made. Her reasons for the suggestion were based on the lack of material in the packet concerning who the other applicants were and that one of the applicants had asked to be reappointed. The Board's policy had been to honor such requests. Her support for the reappointment was indicated as well as a desire to interview the individual based on that individual's library experience. Supervisor Williamson did not wish to amend the motion. Her personal knowledge of the individual was noted. If the Board wished to interview any one of the candidates then all six should be interviewed. Her concern about a quorum as only three members are currently serving on the Board of Trustees was voiced. She hoped that the unchosen applicants would reapply when future opening occur. Supervisor Livermore also felt that the entire interview process would have to be redone to consider the individual's application. That individual had served a full four year term. Supervisor Plank also felt that the direction provided in the previous motion prevented the Board from considering all of the applicants. Mayor Masayko also felt that the Board should honor the process until it is changed. The Trustees need to be able to function. He, too, was somewhat distressed that the former member had not been reappointed. The Trustees had made a recommendation as requested. It should be honored. Chair Maddox indicated that the applicant had fulfilled an unexpired term and not served a full four year term. She also indicated that another former Trustee had also been an applicant. The motion to appoint Messrs. Dehl and Amundson was voted and carried 5-0. Mayor Masayko congratulated the applicants and thanked the Trustees for their service.

8. FINANCE DIRECTOR - David Heath - ACTION ON A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY FINANCE DIRECTOR TO ARRANGE FOR THE SALE OF THE CARSON CITY, NEVADA, GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) BONDS (ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES); DETERMINING THAT THE ORDINANCES AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS TO BE ADOPTED BY THE BOARD DO NOT IMPOSE ECONOMIC BURDEN UPON BUSINESS; AND PROVIDING OTHER DETAILS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH (2-0678) - Public comments were solicited but none were provided. Comments noted the bond information provided to the Debt Management Commission had answered the Board questions posed at the last Supervisors' meeting. Similar packets should be provided to the Board in the future when Bonds are considered. Bond Counsellor Jennifer Stern

was present. Comments were again solicited but none given. Supervisor Plank moved to adopt Resolution No. 2000-R-41, A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY FINANCE DIRECTOR TO ARRANGE FOR THE SALE OF THE CARSON CITY, NEVADA, GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) BONDS (ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES); DETERMINING THAT THE ORDINANCES AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS TO BE ADOPTED BY THE BOARD DO NOT IMPOSE ECONOMIC BURDEN UPON BUSINESS; AND PROVIDING OTHER DETAILS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

9. TREASURER - Business License Compliance Officer Beth Huck

A. ACTION ON DIRECTION AND ORDER TO SELL REAL PROPERTY FOR TAX DELINQUENCIES WHERE CARSON CITY HAS TAKEN A DEED (2-0755) - Discussion noted there were nine parcels with a total delinquency of \$11,910.22 and a total appraised value of \$551,143. Comments expressed the feeling that the delinquencies would be paid before the sale occurs. Supervisor Williamson moved to approve direction to proceed with the sale of real property tax delinquent properties as presented in the Board packets. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

B. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING

i. ACTION TO APPROVE AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF CARSON CITY, NEVADA, DESIGNATED BY THE SHORT TITLE "2000 WATER BOND ORDINANCE"; PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ITS REGISTERED, NEGOTIABLE, GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) WATER BONDS (ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES), SERIES 2000; PROVIDING THE FORM, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE BONDS AND COVENANTS RELATING TO THE PAYMENT OF SUCH BONDS; PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF ANNUAL GENERAL (AD VALOREM) TAXES FOR THE PAYMENT OF SUCH BONDS; ADDITIONALLY SECURING THEIR PAYMENT BY A PLEDGE OF REVENUES DERIVED FROM THE WATER SYSTEM OF THE CITY; RATIFYING ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN TOWARD THE ISSUANCE OF SUCH BONDS AND EFFECTING THE PURPOSE OF THEIR ISSUANCE; AND PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS RELATING THERETO (2-0825) - Supervisor Plank moved to introduce Bill No. 124 on first reading, AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF CARSON CITY, NEVADA, DESIGNATED BY THE SHORT TITLE "2000 WATER BOND ORDINANCE"; PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ITS REGISTERED, NEGOTIABLE, GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) WATER BONDS (ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES), SERIES 2000; PROVIDING THE FORM, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE BONDS AND COVENANTS RELATING TO THE PAYMENT OF SUCH BONDS; PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF ANNUAL GENERAL (AD VALOREM) TAXES FOR THE PAYMENT OF SUCH BONDS; ADDITIONALLY SECURING THEIR PAYMENT BY A PLEDGE OF REVENUES DERIVED FROM THE WATER SYSTEM OF THE CITY; RATIFYING ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN TOWARD THE ISSUANCE OF SUCH BONDS AND EFFECTING THE PURPOSE OF THEIR ISSUANCE; AND PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS RELATING THERETO; fiscal impact is \$1,500,000 bond income to the water utility fund. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

ii. ACTION TO APPROVE AN ORDINANCE DESIGNATED BY THE SHORT TITLE "2000 SEWER BOND ORDINANCE"; PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE BY CARSON CITY, NEVADA, OF ITS NEGOTIABLE, GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) SEWER BONDS (ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES), SERIES 2000; STATING THE PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE BONDS ARE TO BE ISSUED; PROVIDING THE FORM, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE BONDS, THE USE OF THEIR PROCEEDS PROVIDING FOR THE SALE THEREOF; PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF ANNUAL GENERAL (AD VALOREM) TAXES FOR THE PAYMENT OF THE BONDS; PROVIDING OTHER DETAILS CONCERNING THE BONDS; RATIFYING ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN TOWARD THE

ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS; AND PROVIDING THE EFFECTIVE DATE THEREOF (2-0865) -

Supervisor Plank moved to introduce Bill No. 125 on first reading, AN ORDINANCE DESIGNATED BY THE SHORT TITLE "2000 SEWER BOND ORDINANCE"; PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE BY CARSON CITY, NEVADA, OF ITS NEGOTIABLE, GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) SEWER BONDS (ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES), SERIES 2000; STATING THE PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE BONDS ARE TO BE ISSUED; PROVIDING THE FORM, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE BONDS, THE USE OF THEIR PROCEEDS PROVIDING FOR THE SALE THEREOF; PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF ANNUAL GENERAL (AD VALOREM) TAXES FOR THE PAYMENT OF THE BONDS; PROVIDING OTHER DETAILS CONCERNING THE BONDS; RATIFYING ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN TOWARD THE ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS; AND PROVIDING THE EFFECTIVE DATE THEREOF, fiscal impact is \$3,000,000 bond income to the sewer utility fund. Supervisors Livermore and Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

BREAK: A lunch recess was declared at 12:35 p.m. The entire Board was present when Mayor Masayko reconvened the meeting at 1:40 p.m., constituting a quorum.

10. PERSONNEL MANAGER - Judie Fisher - ACTION TO APPOINT THREE MEMBERS TO THE CARSON CITY ADVISORY BOARD TO MANAGE WILDLIFE (2-0992) - Discussion ensued on the selection process and the Board's desire that interviews be conducted rather than automatic reappointments. The Wildlife Board had conducted the interviews and made recommendations on the appointments. A memo had been submitted in lieu of the Minutes advising the Board of the recommended selections. Wildlife Board Chair Chris MacKenzie briefly described the interview process and the terms. Supervisor Plank moved to appoint Steve Walker to replace James Mason for a three year term, reappoint Larry Blank for a three year term, and reappoint Chris MacKenzie for a two year term to the Carson City Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife; no fiscal impact. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Supervisor Williamson complimented the applicants on their willingness to serve and her reasons for supporting new appointments to boards and commissions. The motion was voted and carried 5-0. Mayor Masayko congratulated the new Board members and thanked the other applicants for applying. Ms. Fisher indicated that the other applications would be kept on file for future use.

11. CARSON CITY COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION - STATUS REPORT ON TRANSIT OPERATIONS (2-1084) - General Manager Marc Reynolds, Washoe RTC Marketing and Communications Representative Nancy Pearl, Finance Director David Heath - Mr. Reynolds introduced Pat Walter, the new dispatcher, and Nancy Pearl, the new Marketing and Communication Director for Reno RTC. A copy of the slides which Mr. Reynolds showed and explained are included in the packet. Discussion indicated an increase in ridership and a capacity problem. Reasons the Welfare/Job Access recipients do not use the service were noted. His definition of "on time" service was a "plus/minus" period of 15 minutes from the scheduled time. Efforts have been undertaken to minimize the ride periods as much as possible while increasing the number of riders per trip. Comments noted the decreased summer ridership which also occurred last year. Operational efficiency indicated a decrease in the labor hours with an increased ridership. A new vehicle will join the fleet at the end of September. Job Access funds had acquired another vehicle for Carson City. Additional funding had been obtained through Ms. Stanio's office for two vehicles for the Reno-Carson City shuttle. The Rendezvous parking lot shuttle service had given 750 rides, which was a decrease from last year's service.

Supervisor Bennett reported on the Public Transit Advisory Committee activities including its support of the fixed service program and its exploration of opportunities to reduce rates, expand services and capacity. Policies regarding the fee structure are also being developed. Ms. Stanio offered funding for a study of the transit system and for the MPO.

Mr. Reynolds explained the two vehicles acquired by Paratransit for a charter service which are stored at the Corporate Yard. The charter service is separate from the City's on-demand service. Mr. Reynolds then explained the 18 surveys completed at the Senior Center.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the August 17, 2000, Meeting
Page 15

Ms. Pearl distributed and explained a marketing overview pamphlet to the Board and Clerk. A copy is in the file. A second advertising effort will be conducted in September. An advertising budget of \$30,000 is being used to promote the service in Carson City and Reno. Comments encouraged her to increase the size of the print used for the advertising. The success of the free service was noted. Some of these individuals may not use the service when the fee commences but others who had not used the service during the trial may take advantage of it. There are ten round trips a day. Service to Douglas County has not been established.

Supervisor Bennett explained Douglas County's reluctance to take on the two year program due to the demands, including funding requirements, it will face at the end of the trial. In response to Supervisor Bennett's questions, Ms. Pearl explained that 30 or 40 Carson City businesses and government offices had received the flyers and posters. All of the newspaper inserts were placed in the Carson City area. All though the media is located in Reno, efforts are being made to advertise to service to the Carson City residents and not Reno. There were 41 Reno riders and 67 Carson City riders using the service on Monday. Promotions are done just prior to implementation of the service to keep the public's interest high. Ms. Pearl volunteered to return or provide updates whenever the Board desired. Supervisor Bennett asked her to attend the Public Transit Advisory Committee meetings. Ms. Pearl indicated that advertising in Douglas County and South Shore is being considered. This will encourage their residents to drive to Carson City and use the service from here. Supervisor Livermore cautioned against using the shopping center parking lots for the riders who park and ride without appropriate contact with the owners/businesses. Ms. Pearl felt that this had occurred, however, would discuss it with other staff members. Surveys purportedly indicate that individuals who use shopping centers for park and ride are shoppers of that center on a regular basis.

Supervisor Bennett then explained that she had a certificate of appreciation for NDOT Representative Sandy Stanio for her support of the program and Carson City; however, Ms. Stanio was not present.

Ms. Pearl felt that as a representative of Reno RTC it had been a pleasure to work on a regional program with the use of federal funding to meet a growing need. It will be a successful program. She thanked the Board for its support.

Mayor Masayko asked for copies of the schedule for distribution at the City Hall. The information will be on the City's website. He invited the public to attend the kick-off ceremony tomorrow morning and described his participation in the ceremony when the first trip was made. He thanked Mr. Reynolds for his assistance with this ceremony.

Mr. Heath explained his financial analysis report for the fiscal year ending June 30th. Discussion noted the operational costs of \$420,000 with 53,000 riders. Only the general public pays the \$4 fee. Reasons the Senior Citizens and OARC riders do not pay the \$4 fee were noted. The contractor for the bus advertising had paid for the entire year. This \$8200 was received after the June 30th fiscal year closing date and will be included in next year's revenue. Additional discussion ensued on the letters Mayor Masayko had sent to Reno Mayor Griffin for the first trip ceremony and indicated that Supervisor Bennett could present the certificate to Ms. Stanio at tomorrow's ceremony. The public was invited to attend the ceremony.

12. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - ENGINEERING - Director Andrew Burnham introduced City Engineer Larry Werner. Mayor Masayko welcomed him.

BREAK: A recess was declared at 2:45 p.m. The entire Board was present when Mayor Masayko reconvened the meeting at 2:52 p.m., constituting a

quorum.

A. PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION ON THE STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR CARSON CITY (2-2138) - Mr. Burnham began the discussion by noting the public/private funding which had developed the program. Mayor Masayko complimented Engineering Manager Mahmood Azad

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the August 17, 2000, Meeting
Page 16

on his work on the program. Mr. Azad introduced and thanked the Committee for its dedication and efforts to develop the program. Mayor Masayko also thanked them for their hard work and efforts on behalf of the community. Mr. Azad emphasized that the program is a conceptual proposal and had not been cast in cement. Full input was solicited in order to address all concerns. He then used computer enhanced overheads to highlight the concept including issues and questions which must be answered before the program can be implemented. (A copy is included in the file.) The concept mandates heavy public involvement. Supervisor Bennett encouraged the Committee to develop best management practices which may allow individual property owners to retain the runoff on their property. This approach is being developed at Tahoe. Justification for retention of Lumos and Associates and Hector Cyre; Randy Bowling Consulting; WRC Nevada, Inc., and Fieldman, Rolapp and Associates and the scope of their work was provided. Timeframes for development of the action plan including program development, funding options, draft ordinance and engineering support were limned. Board action on these items was requested. The contracts for Randy Bowling, WRC Nevada, and Fieldman, Rolapp and Associates will not be brought to the Board as they are under \$35,000 unless other direction is given by the Board. Mayor Masayko asked that the dates for meetings be posted. Mr. Werner indicated that the first meeting would be held on August 29, if the Board approves the contract with Lumos and Associates. The contract with Lumos and Associates is for \$80,000. The City has these monies on hand. When these funds are expended, Project Impact funds will be granted and matched by private funding. The total cost for Lumos and Associates is \$200,000. NDOT funding for the drainage along the freeway was explained. At this time it does not appear that NDOT will participate beyond that committed for the northern portion of the freeway. Funding is being sought for maintenance of the drainage facilities which the City currently has. It may be three to five years before additional facilities are constructed. Vicee Canyon facilities may remain until another or better program is developed which may not occur for two or three years. The status of the Shenandoah structure was described. Priorities will be established by the public and the Committee based upon the most good created. Potential funding sources included impact fees. A regional basin is more probable and will pool funding proposed for multiple small retention basins. Supervisor Livermore voiced his support for this concept, however, this concept develops an enormous project which may take many years to complete.

Supervisor Bennett asked Mr. Azad to make a presentation to the Board on the preliminary program analysis and the system design analysis for Ash and Kings Canyons which had been presented to the Subconservancy. The funding for this project was limned. This project should be tied to the entire citywide program. Mr. Azad indicated that the Subconservancy was merely establishing what could be done in Ash and Kings Canyons. Its funding will determine the protection level. Supervisor Bennett felt that the Board needed to develop some policy issues related to this program before the project is undertaken. Mayor Masayko stressed the need for the plan to be beneficial to the community before the funds are expended. Supervisor Bennett also felt that some aspects of this plan should be discussed with the Committee before the design is developed.

Discussion between Supervisor Plank and Mr. Azad pointed out the insurance benefits which may be created by the program if the coverage level is high enough. It also questioned the cost benefit ratio which would be needed to raise the program to the level required for insurance reductions. Mr. Azad hoped to be able to remove all of the "A" flood zones as a minimum. This should reduce the insurance demands somewhat. Mr. Werner also explained the plan for the City to remap the flood zones which may correctly realign them. Once a funding source is developed, the program to remove, correct, and address the flooding levels will be implemented. Mr. Azad indicated that ordinances will develop a mechanism which will allow construction to occur until the regional drainage programs are available.

Mayor Masayko questioned whether it would be necessary for the program to be maintained for perpetuity as a utility. He agreed that a program which shared the cost throughout the community in the beginning was appropriate. He also questioned whether the developer who builds a detention basin on his property and maintains it through the years should have to pay the same amount as others without a basin. The entire program should be fair and equitable for everyone. Mr. Werner indicated that modifications to the construction standards will be analyzed to determine their validity. Mayor Masayko felt that the private developers should either continue to maintain their basins or be factored into the regional basin which would return the area used for a basin to the property owner for other uses. Other areas have undertaken similar problems and research will include a review of

those programs.

Mayor Masayko also supported obtaining funding for maintaining the structures now in place throughout the community. He suggested using the one-eighth cent sales tax, if possible. If this requires a change in the statutes, this process should be pursued. Public information and communication is necessary. Discussion noted the need to upgrade the older portions of the storm drains. WRC will inventory all of the structures currently in place. Supervisor Livermore asked that this list also include who is paying to maintain the facilities, the cost for upgrading, the billing costs, and costs for each person in the future. Mr. Werner felt that Street Maintenance budgets between \$6 and \$700,000 on storm drain maintenance. These funds could be used for street items if the program becomes a reality. (3-0015) Mayor Masayko felt that Streets may have to continue funding a portion of the program to maintain the integrity of the streets, roadways, culverts, etc., in the community. Comments noted the need for the inventory. Public education was also stressed. The video tapes of the recent flood events clearly indicate that any grade will "run" water including a "one percent" grade. Mayor Masayko summarized the Board's comments as being that a funding source should be found for maintaining the current equipment and that the technical engineering should proceed to develop a capital improvement program. The Committee was asked to continue working on the program.

(3-0115) Frank Sharp explained his rural neighborhood and questioned how the program would impact it. Mr. Werner explained that the City maintains the ditches. Funding for this maintenance will be developed. Improvements to the system will reduce overall flooding in the entire community which benefits all of the residents. More details will be available in the future to support his comments; such as the protection benefits drainage structures provide streets, etc. The current contracts do not provide any benefits which would resolve current flooding problems beyond providing funding to maintain culverts, ditches, etc. Mayor Masayko also indicated that the more people participating in the funding source, the lower the cost to each individual, and the more palatable it may be to each individual. Mr. Werner suggested that the residential operational and maintenance fee could be \$5 a month. The commercial fee may be based on a pro rata share. Expansion of the facility into undeveloped areas should be based upon established standards with appropriate funding. The program suggested today merely establishes the mechanism.

(3-0180) Lou Bucchianeri questioned how the College and other State agencies will be assessed. Comments stressed that they should be a partner in the program.

Supervisor Bennett cited Mr. Sharp's comments to stress the need for public communication and education. Mr. Werner indicated that the contract had not included public communication due to the feeling that the community was already aware of the need. It is apparent that this may not be the case and that more in depth public involvement is required. Board comments indicated that this step should be included within the contract. Mr. Azad felt that public comments during the five neighborhood meetings had indicated that the public is aware of the problem. Mayor Masayko explained that the concern is that the ones with flooding understood the need. The ones who had not experienced it were questioning the benefits they would receive. This is the issue which needs to be communicated.

Committee Member Howard Anderson felt that the neighborhood meetings indicated an understanding of the need for a master plan which would prevent the College from diverting water from Vicee Canyon to Ash Canyon and the development of subdivisions upstream who divert water into other neighborhoods. Comments had also indicated that benefits to one portion of the community benefitted the entire community. No one had purportedly complained about paying to solve the problem. The plan will be implemented over time. Supervisor Livermore indicated that the water diverted from Vicee to Ash had ended up at his business' door step. He felt that Mr. Anderson's comments had indicated financial support for solving the problem. Mr. Anderson then explained that both Ash and Vicee Canyons water had been diverted toward the Hospital. Supervisor Livermore felt that the program would benefit the entire community and should be sponsored by the entire community. This requires a "buy-in" by all of the residents. Mr. Howard agreed.

(3-0340) Committee Member Lacy Perry pointed out that not everyone uses the Fire Department, police

department, Street Department, etc., although the entire community pays for them. The same should be true of the storm drain program. She was impressed with the work Street Operations Manager Flansberg and his crew had done to Goni Road to reduce the flooding potential. Comments noted the flooding which she had encountered in that area. Ms. Perry also noted that the City was small enough that the average citizen could still contact the Mayor or other representatives without having to go through other individuals.

Committee Member Tony Morangi reminded the community that locations which had never encountered flooding previously received the equivalent of an 100 year flood. Another event of this magnitude could occur at any time. The community should be prepared for such an event.

Mayor Masayko indicated that the staff had received direction on the program and that no action was required.

B. ACTION ON THE REQUEST FOR CONTRACT APPROVAL ON THE COMPREHENSIVE STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT, CONTRACT NO. 2000-021, TO LUMOS AND ASSOCIATES, 800 EAST COLLEGE PARKWAY, CARSON CITY, NV 89706, FOR AN ORIGINAL AGREEMENT COST NOT TO EXCEED \$80,000 AND AUTHORIZE THE CONTRACTS DIVISION TO EXECUTE AMENDMENTS FOR A NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$120,000 BASED ON AVAILABLE FUNDING (2-0410) - Supervisor Williamson moved to accept the Development Services recommendation on this Request for Contract Approval on the Comprehensive Stormwater Management Program project, Contract No. 2000-21, to Lumos and Associates, 800 East College parkway, Carson City, NV 89706, for an original contract cost not to exceed \$80,000 and authorize the Contracts Division to execute amendments for a not to exceed amount of \$120,000 based on available funding; fiscal impact is \$80,000 as provided for in Fiscal Year 2000-2001. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

C. ACTION ON THE FREEWAY UTILITY RELOCATION PROJECT PHASE 1A, CONTRACT NO. 9900-149 TO ACCEPT CHANGE ORDERS 1 AND 2 FOR AN INCREASE TO THE CONTRACT OF \$25,085 AND AUTHORIZE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES AN ADDITIONAL CONTINGENCY AMOUNT OF \$383,709.40 - Additional funding may be requested in late September or early October to cover other change orders which are being negotiated at this time. Discussion noted that the bid award had been challenged originally. NDOT's total participation in the project has yet to be determined. Mr. Werner indicated that Contri's claims were legitimate to a point due to the number of changes required by Carson City. The shortness of the design period and its coordination had had a negative impact on the thoroughness of the contract. Many of the items should have been included in the contract. NDOT had also caused several of the changes due to a misunderstanding of the difference between road and utility standards. He hoped that the amount requested would cover the total amount needed for future change orders, however, there could be as much as \$50,000 to \$100,000 more in outstanding change orders. Underground projects are very, very expensive and more so when unmarked underground utilities are found. He felt that the project was approximately 80 percent completed. Mayor Masayko noted for the record that the project had started out at \$2.33 million and had escalated to \$3.1 million. Better information in front of the contract could have avoided the increase. Comments indicated that the City had known about the need for the contract since 1996 and should not have waited until the last minute to develop it. Mr. Burnham agreed, however, the NDOT's design had not been ready until the last minute for the City to use in developing the contract. Supervisor Plank urged staff to continue analyzing the legitimacy of the change orders. Mr. Werner responded by explaining his philosophy concerning change orders so that the public receives a value for the charges. NDOT had yet to sign the agreement regarding its reimbursement of costs incurred by the City under this contract. This is the reason staff was not sure how much would be paid by NDOT. Staff felt that NDOT could be held liable for its share even though the agreement had not been signed based on letters authorizing the City to proceed under the contract. Mr. Werner indicated that there is a "clear paper trail" with NDOT and the District Attorney regarding this issue.

Contri Attorney Scott Heaton indicated that the City had developed the contract. Contri had encountered numerous instances where the contract does not reflect what has occurred which created the need for change orders. Normally change orders are submitted to the City who approves or rejects them. Rejected items are then

not constructed. Disagreements regarding the inclusion of these item within the original bid are arbitrated as spelled out in the contract. He was comfortable with the City staff and that a fair and equitable resolution could be reached on the remaining change orders. Mr. Heaton explained his concern with Mr. Werner's figures regarding the amount of outstanding change orders. He felt that there were at least \$500,000 remaining to be resolved and that the total project would be in the \$3.5 million range. The Board is not the forum for adjunction of the legitimacy of the claims. A change order, which had yet to be submitted, was described to explain the process and its financial impact.

Mr. Werner felt that his figures were valid and that the contractor's figures would be negotiated. Mr. Burnham indicated that the Utility funds were being used to pay the contractor. When NDOT reimburses the City, the funds will be returned. NDOT may be responsible for 40 to 50 percent of the project. NDOT is considered a partner in the change orders and pays a portion based on the ratio an item has to the freeway. Supervisor Livermore suggested that appropriate paperwork be maintained to show NDOT the relative costs and support for the change orders including an adequate paper trail with the District Attorney's office during the process. Mr. Burnham indicated that this is occurring. NDOT is participating in the approval process on the change orders. Daily contact is maintained with NDOT. Public comments were solicited but none given.

Supervisor Plank moved to accept the Development Services recommendation on the Freeway Utility Relocation Project Phase 1A, Contract No. 9900-149, to accept Changes Orders 1 and 2 for an increase to the contract of \$25,085 and authorize Development Services an additional contingency amount of \$383,709.40; funding source is NDOT Bypass Non-Reimbursable, NDOT Bypass Reimbursable, NDOT Bypass Replacement and NDOT Bypass Extension. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion and expressed her hope that this is not a "train wreck". Mayor Masayko indicated that the project was 85 percent complete and hoped that the worst is behind the City. Supervisor Bennett responded that she hoped that the light at the end of the tunnel is not another train.

Frank Sharp noted that the Board was voting on an issue without NDOT's signature. If NDOT changes its mind, the City would be responsible for the entire project. Mayor Masayko noted that the City must trust NDOT. The project is underway and will not stop. Mr. Sharp restated his feeling that NDOT could change its mind.

Discussion between Supervisor Bennett and Mr. Burnham indicated that there is an NDOT letter authorizing the City to proceed with the project. The City does not have an NDOT signed contract on the full phase 1A project. The City had proceeded under the terms of a suggested contract based on the letter. Supervisor Bennett felt that this letter could be considered a legal contract. Deputy District Attorney Bruketta indicated that she had not seen the letter. She felt that the contract included a provision indicating that the City could not do the work without NDOT's notice to proceed. Mr. Burnham indicated that this is what the City has. He also indicated that he would meet with the District Attorney's office on the issue. He felt that Mr. Berkich's office should become involved in the attempt to obtain a signed contract. Supervisor Bennett suggested that "political interference" also be involved in the process. Mr. Burnham urged the Board to approve the expenditures as the work had been required and constructed. Supervisor Plank pointed out that NDOT had participated in developing the change orders and knew that they had been incurred. Mr. Burnham pointed out that NDOT had directed the City to do a number of the change orders. Supervisor Livermore directed staff to submit bills on an on-going basis and not to wait until the project is completed. He felt that it is an awkward situation for the City to be in at this time, i.e., to be paying 100 percent of a project while its partner pays nothing. He was certain that NDOT would look to the City for payments on an on-going basis as payments are made to the contractor if the process was reversed. Although he did not doubt NDOT's intentions, he questioned the need to trust a partner for \$3 million. He directed that staff meet with the District Attorney tomorrow and review all of the documentation. Mr. Burnham indicated that the drainage project is being billed monthly and is proceeding well. That project, however has a signed agreement. Supervisor Bennett reiterated her direction that he utilize all of the powers and resources available to obtain a signed agreement and payment(s). Mr. Burnham responded that all efforts to date had been unsuccessful. He had not worked through the Mayor but would tomorrow.

The motion to approve the contract and funding as indicated was voted and carried 5-0.

6. D. NON-ACTION ITEMS - INTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS; AND E. STAFF COMMENTS AND STATUS REPORTS (3-0957) - Mayor Masayko explained his need to leave due to a previous commitment at NDOT regarding Phase 2 and a willingness to pass the gavel to Mayor Pro-Tem Bennett so that reports could be given. Consensus of the Board indicated that reports would be held until the next meeting.

Supervisor Livermore moved to adjourn. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0. Mayor Masayko adjourned the meeting at 4:50 p.m.

The Minutes of the August 17, 2000, Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting

ARE SO APPROVED ON____December_21,
2000.

____/s/_____

Ray Masayko, Mayor

ATTEST:

____/s/_____
Alan Glover, Clerk-Recorder